LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: Magnolia Science Academy 5

CDS Code: 19-10199-0137679

School Year: 2024-25

LEA contact information:

Ali Kaplan

Principal

akaplan@magnoliapublicschools.org

(818) 705-5676

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).

Budget Overview for the 2024-25 School Year

Projected Revenue by Fund Source

Total LCFF funds
$3,501,133
71 %

All other state funds,
$1,019,714 , 21%

All federal funds,
$341,709 , 7%

All local funds,
$41,713 , 1%

LCFF supplemental & concentration grants,
$971,366 , 20%

All Other LCFF funds,
$2,529,767 , 51%

This chart shows the total general purpose revenue Magnolia Science Academy 5 expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for Magnolia Science Academy 5 is $4,904,269, of which $3,501,133 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), $1,019,714 is other state funds, $41,713 is local funds, and $341,709 is federal funds. Of the $3,501,133 in LCFF Funds, $971,366 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.

Budgeted Expenditures in the LCAP

Total Budgeted General Fund Expenditures, $4,852,675	Total Budgeted Expenditures in the LCAP $4,852,675

This chart provides a quick summary of how much Magnolia Science Academy 5 plans to spend for 2024-25. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: Magnolia Science Academy 5 plans to spend $4,852,675.12 for the 2024-25 school year. Of that amount, $4,852,675.12 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and $0 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:

N/A

Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2024-25 School Year

In 2024-25, Magnolia Science Academy 5 is projecting it will receive $971,366 based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. Magnolia Science Academy 5 must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. Magnolia Science Academy 5 plans to spend $1,214,948.12 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2023-24

Prior Year Expenditures: Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students

☐ Total Budgeted Expenditures for High Needs Students in the LCAP — $747,442

☐ Actual Expenditures for High Needs Students in LCAP — $739,622

$ 0 $ 200,000 $ 400,000 $ 600,000 $ 800,000

This chart compares what Magnolia Science Academy 5 budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what Magnolia Science Academy 5 estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2023-24, Magnolia Science Academy 5's LCAP budgeted $747,442.26 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. Magnolia Science Academy 5 actually spent $739,621.55 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2023-24.

The difference between the budgeted and actual expenditures of $-7,820.709,999,999,963 had the following impact on Magnolia Science Academy 5's ability to increase or improve services for high needs students:

Even though, the total actual expenditures for actions and services to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2023-24 is less than the total budgeted expenditures for those planned actions and services, the difference did not impact the actions and services and the overall increased or improved services for high needs students in 2023-24. For those actions and services, Magnolia Science Academy 5 used applicable (if not all) state, federal, and local funds including, but not limited to CIF, Lottery - Non-Prop 2, EPA, ELOP (Expanded Learning Opportunities Program), Title I, ESSER III, ESSER III - Learning Loss, ELO - Learning Loss, ESSER III Summer Grant, Title II, Title IV - Part A, Title III - LEP, ARP HCY II, ASES, Educator Effectiveness Block Grant, California Community Schools Partnership, AB 602, Arts & Music Block Grant, Community Engagement Initiative Grant, A-G Access/Success Grant, A-G Learning Loss Mitigation Grant, MTSS, and Fundraising.

2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update

The instructions for completing the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) Annual Update follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy 5	Ali Kaplan Principal	akaplan@magnoliapublicschools.org (818) 705-5676

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description
1	BASIC SERVICES FOR A HIGH-QUALITY LEARNING ENVIRONMENT: All students and staff will have access to a safe, secure, healthy, and high-quality learning and working environment. Students will receive basic services that are fundamental to academic success, including fully credentialed teachers, standards-aligned instructional materials, and appropriately maintained school facilities.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Number of misassignments of teachers of English learners, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions (Source: Local Indicator Priority 1)	2020-21: 0	2021-22: 0	2022-23: 0	2023-24: 0	2023-24: 0
Percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home (Source: Local Indicator Priority 1)	2020-21: 0%	2021-22: 0%	2022-23: 0%	2023-24: 0%	2023-24: 0%
Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair"	2020-21: 0	2021-22: 0	2022-23: 0	2023-24: 0	2022-23: 0

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies) (Source: Local Indicator Priority 1)					
Teacher retention rate (Source: HRIS)	2020-21: (Spring 2020 to Fall 2020) 93.0%	2021-22: (Spring 2021 to Fall 2021) 93%	2022-23: (Fall 2021 to Fall 2022) 75% This metric has been updated to measure from fall to fall.	2023-24: (Fall 2022 to Fall 2023) 60%	2023-24: (Fall 2022 to Fall 2023) 90.0%
Teacher attendance rate (Source: HRIS)	2020-21: (As of 3/25/21) 99.0%	2021-22: (As of 5/12/22) 94.8%	2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 95.8%	2023-24: (As of 12/15/23) 95.3%	2023-24: 97.0%

Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

MSA-5 has met number of metrics in Goal1. Teacher retention will be an area of focus to sustain the consistency in staffing.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Goal 1 Action 1
Budgeted: $17,755
Actual: $17,755
Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

Goal 1 Action 2
Budgeted: $310,157
Actual: $310,157
Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

Goal 1 Action 3
Budgeted: $357,165
Actual: $357,165
Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

Goal 1 Action 4
Budgeted: $24,360
Actual: $24,360
Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

Goal 1 Action 5
Budgeted: $347,839
Actual: $347,839
Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

MSA-5's Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures are matching.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

The actions and budgetary plans have been effective. MSA-5 has plans to improve staff satisfaction in order to improve the retention.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description
2	EXCELLENCE: All students will have equitable access to a high-quality core curricular and instructional program and make academic progress on the California Content Standards. Students will pursue academic excellence and be college/career ready.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Percentage of programs and services outlined in the charter petition, including a broad course of study, that are developed and provided to students, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest (Source: Local Indicator Priority 7, SIS)	2020-21: 95%	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 100%	2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 100%	2023-24: (As of 5/20/24) 95%	2023-24: 100%
Percentage of students who have sufficient access to all programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and to individuals with	2020-21: 100%	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 100%	2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 100%	2023-24: (As of 5/24/24) 100%	2023-24: 100%

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
exceptional needs (Source: Local Indicator Priority 7, SIS)					
Percentage of state standards implementation for all students (Source: Local Indicator Priority 2)	2020-21: 100%	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 100%	2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 100%	2023-24: (As of 5/20/24) 100%	2023-24: 100%
Percentage of completion of the formal and informal classroom observations by the school administration based on one formal and four informal observations per teacher per year (Source: TeachBoost)	2020-21: (As of 5/7/21) 98%	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 94.8%	2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 100%	2023-24: (As of 5/24/24) 88%	2023-24: 100%
Percentage of students who have received a grade of "C" or better (or performed "proficient" on the related state standardized tests) in core subjects and electives (Source: SIS)	2020-21: (First semester) 73%	2021-22: (First semester) 90%	2022-23: (First semester) 70%	2023-24: (First semester) 62%	2023-24: 80%
Average Lexile Growth (L) from fall to spring (Source: myON)	2020-21: (As of 5/7/21) 73.9	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 91.7	This metric will be retired. We are exploring the "Average Grade Level	This metric has been retired. We are exploring the "Average Grade Level	2023-24: 75.0

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
			Equivalent Growth from fall to spring" as our new metric based on myON reading assessments. Baseline will be established in 2023-24.	Equivalent Growth from fall to spring" as our new metric based on myON reading assessments. Baseline is being established in 2023-24.	
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding standard on the CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments (Source: CDE DataQuest)	2018-19: • All Students: 46.96% • English Learners: 6.12% • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 47.83% • Students with Disabilities: 17.39% • Homeless: 41.67% • Hispanic: 47.44%	CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments were waived during the 2019-20 and 2020-21 school years. We have used the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Reading assessment and the Smarter Balanced Interim Assessments (IAB) to project the percentage of students meeting or exceeding standard on the 2021-22 CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments. Spring 2022 MAP Reading - Proficiency Projection for 2021-22 SBAC:	2021-22: • All Students: 45.34% • English Learners: 8.89% • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 43.36% • Students with Disabilities: 29.17% • Hispanic: 43.36% We have used the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Reading assessment and the Smarter Balanced Interim Assessments (IAB) to project the percentage of students meeting or exceeding standard on the 2022-23	2022-23: • All Students: 45.04% • English Learners: 12.0% • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 42.86% • Students with Disabilities: 23.53% • Asian: * • Hispanic: 43.36% • White: * IAB ELA Level 3 and 4 Projection (1/22/24): • All Students: 35.88%	2022-23: • All Students: 50.00% • English Learners: 12.00% • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 50.00% • Students with Disabilities: 2.200% • Homeless: 46.00% • Hispanic: 50.00%

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
		• All Students: 47.02% • English Learners: 9.09% • Students with Disabilities: 37.50% • Hispanic: 45.11% • White: 50.00% IAB ELA Level 3 and 4 Projection (5/13/22): • All Students: 45.98%	CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments. Spring 2023 MAP Reading - Proficiency Projection for 2022-23 SBAC: • All Students: 44.00% • English Learners: 21.88% • Students with Disabilities: 41.18% • Hispanic: 41.90% • White: 33.33% IAB ELA Level 3 and 4 Projection (5/12/23): • All Students: 47.31%		
Distance from Standard (DFS) on the CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments (Source: CA School Dashboard)	2018-19: (2019 Dashboard) • All Students: 11.5 points below standard • English Learners: 43.3 points	CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments were waived during the 2019-20 and 2020-21 school years. Hence, the 2021 Dashboard data is not available. We have used the	2021-22: (2022 Dashboard) • All Students: 2.8 points below standard • English Learners: 50.4 points	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) • All Students: 0.5 points above standard • English Learners: 54.2 points	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) • All Students: 5.0 points below standard • English Learners: 37.0 points

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
	below standard • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 13.1 points below standard • Students with Disabilities: 72.7points below standard • Homeless: 23.7 points below standard • Hispanic: 13.1 points below standard	Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Reading assessment to measure the percentage of students meeting their growth projections from Fall 2021 to Spring 2022. Fall 2021 to Spring 2022 MAP Reading - Percent Met Growth Projection: • All Students: 85.0% • English Learners: 88.4% • Students with Disabilities: 86.7% • Hispanic: 86.9% • White: N/A	below standard • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 9.2 points below standard • Students with Disabilities: 33.5 points below standard • Homeless: * • Hispanic: 8.2 points below standard	below standard • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 3.4 points below standard • Students with Disabilities: 35.9 points below standard • Asian: * • Hispanic: 2.7 points below standard • White: *	below standard • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 7.0 points below standard • Students with Disabilities: 66.0 points below standard • Homeless: 17.0 points below standard • Hispanic: 7.0 points below standard
Percentage of students meeting their growth targets on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Reading assessment from fall to spring (Source: NWEA MAP)	2020-21: • All Students: 60.4% • English Learners: 59.0% • Socioeconomically	Fall 2021 to Spring 2022 MAP Reading - Percent Met Growth Projection: • All Students: 85.0% • English Learners: 88.4%	Fall 2022 to Spring 2023 MAP Reading - Percent Met Growth Projection: • All Students: 54.4% • English Learners: 63.6%	Fall 2023 to Spring 2024 MAP Reading - Percent Met Growth Projection: • All Students: 85.5% • English Learners: 86.0%	2023-24: • All Students: 65.0% • English Learners: 65.0% • Socioeconomically

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
	Disadvantaged: 59.4% • Students with Disabilities: 56.3% • Hispanic: 62.7% • White: 45.5%	• Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 83.0% • Students with Disabilities: 86.7% • Hispanic: 86.9% • White: N/A	• Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 52.5% • Students with Disabilities: 61.5% • Hispanic: 53.1% • White: 60.00%	• Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 79.3% • Students with Disabilities: 93.1% • Hispanic: 85.3% • White: *	Disadvantaged: 65.0% • Students with Disabilities: 65.0% • Hispanic: 65.0% • White: 65.0%
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding standard on the CAASPP-Mathematics assessments (Source: CDE DataQuest)	2018-19: • All Students: 38.67% • English Learners: 10.02% • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 38.51% • Students with Disabilities: 30.44% • Homeless: 41.67% • Hispanic: 37.82%	CAASPP-Mathematics assessments were waived during the 2019-20 and 2020-21 school years. We have used the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Mathematics assessment and the Smarter Balanced Interim Assessments (IAB) to project the percentage of students meeting or exceeding standard on the 2021-22 CAASPP-Mathematics assessments. Spring 2022 MAP	2021-22: • All Students: 22.36% • English Learners: 13.33% • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 18.18% • Students with Disabilities: 12.50% • Hispanic: 20.28% We have used the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Mathematics assessment and the Smarter Balanced Interim Assessments (IAB) to project the	2022-23: • All Students: 34.29% • English Learners: 26.47% • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 35.20% • Students with Disabilities: 23.53% • Asian: * • Hispanic: 34.17% • White: * IAB Math Level 3 and 4 Projection (1/22/24): • All Students: 62.20%	2022-23: • All Students: 41.00% • English Learners: 15.00% • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 41.00% • Students with Disabilities: 33.00% • Homeless: 43.00% • Hispanic: 41.00%

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
		Mathematics - Proficiency Projection for 2021-22 SBAC: • All Students: 14.47% • English Learners: 1.92% • Students with Disabilities: 4.17% • Hispanic: 13.48% • White: 12.50% IAB Math Level 3 and 4 Projection (5/13/22): • All Students: 45.98%	percentage of students meeting or exceeding standard on the 2022-23 CAASPP-Mathematics assessments. Spring 2023 MAP Mathematics - Proficiency Projection for 2022-23 SBAC: • All Students: 25.36% • English Learners: 16.28% • Students with Disabilities: 11.76% • Hispanic: 22.88% • White: 22.22% IAB ELA Level 3 and 4 Projection (5/12/23): • All Students: 42.39%		
Distance from Standard (DFS) on the CAASPP-Mathematics assessments as measured by the CA	2018-19: (2019 Dashboard) • All Students: 17.9 points below standard	CAASPP-Mathematics assessments were waived during the 2019-20 and 2020-21 school years. Hence,	2021-22: (2022 Dashboard) • All Students: 67.0 points below standard	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) • All Students: 49.4 points below standard	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) • All Students: 11.0 points below standard

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
School Dashboard (Source: CA School Dashboard)	• English Learners: 43.5 points below standard • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 18.6 points below standard • Students with Disabilities: 58.0 points below standard • Homeless: 26.2 points below standard • Hispanic: 21.6 points below standard	the 2021 Dashboard data is not available. We have used the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Mathematics assessment to measure the percentage of students meeting their growth projections from Fall 2021 to Spring 2022. Fall 2021 to Spring 2022 MAP Mathematics - Percent Met Growth Projection: • All Students: 81.5% • English Learners: 86.2% • Students with Disabilities: 87.1% • Hispanic: 79.8% • White: N/A	• English Learners: 100.6 points below standard • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 75.1 points below standard • Students with Disabilities: 92.1 points below standard • Homeless: * • Hispanic: 74.0 points below standard	• English Learners: 52.1 points below standard • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 49.4 points below standard • Students with Disabilities: 69.8 points below standard • Asian: * • Hispanic: 53.3 points below standard • White: *	• English Learners: 37.0 points below standard • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 12.0 points below standard • Students with Disabilities: 50.0 points below standard • Homeless: 20.0 points below standard • Hispanic: 15.0 points below standard
Percentage of students meeting their growth targets on the Measures of	2020-21: • All Students: 59.3%	Fall 2021 to Spring 2022 MAP Mathematics - Percent	Fall 2022 to Spring 2023 MAP Mathematics - Percent	Fall 2023 to Spring 2024 MAP Mathematics - Percent	2023-24: • All Students: 70.0%

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Academic Progress (MAP)-Mathematics assessment from fall to spring (Source: NWEA MAP)	- English Learners: 59.1% - Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 59.0% - Students with Disabilities: 65.6% - Hispanic: 59.2% - White: 72.7%	Met Growth Projection: - All Students: 81.5% - English Learners: 86.2% - Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 79.9% - Students with Disabilities: 87.1% - Hispanic: 79.8% - White: N/A	Met Growth Projection: - All Students: 68.5% - English Learners: 70.0% - Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 67.3% - Students with Disabilities: 79.2% - Hispanic: 68.9% - White: 60.0%	Met Growth Projection: - All Students: 86.6% - English Learners: 86.2% - Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 87.4% - Students with Disabilities: 82.8% - Hispanic: 85.3% - White: *	- English Learners: 70.0% - Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 70.0% - Students with Disabilities: 70.0 - Hispanic: 70.0% - White: 75.0%
Percentage of ELs who increased at least one English Learner Progress Indicator (ELPI) Performance Level (PL) or who maintained ELPI PL 4 (ELP) between prior and current year (Source: CA School Dashboard)	2018-19: (2019 Dashboard) 56.3%	2021 Dashboard ELPI data is not available. The following are the 2022 summative ELPAC results by level. 2022 ELPAC Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level: - Level 4: 22.37% - Level 3: 39.47% - Level 3: 9.21%	2021-22: (2022 Dashboard) 67.7%	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 63.2%	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 57.0%

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
		• Level 1: 21.05%			
Percentage of ELs reclassified to Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) annually (Source: CDE DataQuest)	2020-21: 4.1%	2021-22 RFEP data is not available. The following is the 2022 summative ELPAC percentage for the Level 4 performance level. 2021 ELPAC Percentage of Students Level 4: 22.37%	2022-23 RFEP data is not available. The following is the 2022 summative ELPAC percentage for the Level 4 performance level. 2022 ELPAC Percentage of Students Level 4: 22.08%	2023-24 RFEP data is not available. The following is the 2023 summative ELPAC percentage for the Level 4 performance level. 2023 ELPAC Percentage of Students Level 4: 28.75%	2023-24: 13.0%
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding standard on the CAASPP-Science assessments (Source: CDE DataQuest)	2018-19: • All Students: 11.54% • English Learners: 0.00% • Students with Disabilities: 11.63% • Hispanic: 9.30%	CAST assessments were waived during the 2019-20 and 2020-21 school years.	2021-22: • All Students: 14.41% • English Learners: 0.00% • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 11.76% • Students with Disabilities: 0.00% • Hispanic: 13.13%	2022-23: • All Students: 13.73% • English Learners: * • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 11.63% • Students with Disabilities: * • Hispanic: 13.33%	2022-23: • All Students: 16.00% • English Learners: 10.00% • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 16.00% • Hispanic: 16.00%

Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.
A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

The data indicates that the planned actions were largely effective in achieving the desired outcomes. In the previous year, the school maintained a high level of program and service delivery, ensuring equitable access for all students. Classroom observations completion is at 88% currently, closed to the desired 100%.Percentage of students with grades "C" or better in core subjects dropped to 62%, below the desired 80%. MAP-Reading and MAP-Mathematics assessments showed a decline in the percentage of students meeting growth targets. Distance from Standard (DFS) on CAASPP assessments showed improvement but did not meet the desired outcomes. However, challenges were observed in classroom observations and student performance in core subjects and standardized tests. Percentage of programs and services provided consistently remained high, close to the desired 100%. Access to programs and services for unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs was consistently met. State standards implementation for all students was maintained at 100%. The percentage of students meeting or exceeding the CAASPP-ELA/Literacy standards met the desired outcome of 50%.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Goal 2 Action 1
Budgeted: $931,603
Actual: $979,803
Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

Goal 2 Action 2
Budgeted: $47,697
Actual: $47,697
Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

Goal 2 Action 3
Budgeted: $459,153
Actual: $459,153
Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

Goal 2 Action 4
Budgeted: $85,297
Actual: $85,297

Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

Goal 2 Action 5
Budgeted: $567,891
Actual: $563,999
Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards on the CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments reached the desired outcome of 50% by 2022-23. The Distance from Standard (DFS) also showed improvement, with students performing above the standard in Year 2. The percentage of students receiving a grade of "C" or better in core subjects decreased to 62% in Year 3, which is below the desired 80%. This indicates that additional support and interventions are needed to improve student performance in core subjects.

MAP Assessments: The percentage of students meeting growth targets on MAP assessments showed a significant decline. In MAP-Reading, the percentage dropped to 36.9% (fall to fall) in Year 3, far below the desired 65%. Similarly, MAP-Mathematics saw a drop to 42.5%, indicating that the actions taken were not effective in supporting student growth as measured by these assessments. Mathematics Performance: While there was improvement in the percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards on the CAASPP-Mathematics assessments, the outcome of 41% in Year 3 was still below the desired 50%. The DFS for Mathematics showed improvement but did not meet the desired outcome of being only 10 points below standard. MSA-5 will have targeted interventions for core subjects by implementing specific support programs and interventions to help students achieve better grades in core subjects and enhancing MAP Assessment Strategies by developing and implement strategies to support student growth on MAP assessments, including targeted instruction and personalized learning plans.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Based on the analysis of data from the past three years, it is clear that while some areas have seen success, there are critical areas needing improvement. The changes outlined above are designed to address the specific issues identified and to improve the overall effectiveness of our actions in achieving the goal of academic excellence and college/career readiness for all students. These changes are informed by a careful reflection on prior practice and an understanding of the areas that require focused attention and support.

By implementing these changes, MSA-5 aims to enhance the quality of education and support provided to all students, ensuring that they have the resources and opportunities needed to succeed academically and be prepared for their future endeavors.
For Student Performance in Core Subjects;

Issue: The percentage of students receiving a grade of "C" or better in core subjects decreased to 62% in Year 3, below the desired 80%.
Action: Implement targeted interventions and support programs to help students improve their performance in core subjects. This includes additional tutoring, after-school programs, and summer enrichment activities focused on core subjects.
For MAP Assessments:

Issue: The percentage of students meeting growth targets on MAP assessments showed a significant decline. In MAP-Reading, the percentage dropped to 36.9% (fall to fall) in Year 3, far below the desired 65%. Similarly, MAP-Mathematics saw a drop to 42.5%.
Action: Develop and implement strategies to support student growth on MAP assessments. This includes personalized learning plans for students, targeted instruction based on MAP assessment data, and professional development for teachers on using MAP data to inform instruction.
For Mathematics Performance:

Issue: The percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards on the CAASPP-Mathematics assessments was 41% in Year 3, below the desired 50%. The DFS for Mathematics also did not meet the desired outcome of being only 10 points below standard.
Action: Provide additional resources and support for Mathematics instruction. This includes hiring additional math specialists, incorporating more technology and hands-on learning opportunities in math instruction, and offering professional development for teachers focused on effective math teaching strategies.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description
3	INNOVATION: All students will have access to a well-rounded education that supports their readiness for college and the global world. Each student will become an independent, innovative scholar by practicing creativity in learning and using technology in transformative ways.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Percentage of students in the current year College Career Indicator (CCI) who earned Prepared on the CCI (Source: CA School Dashboard)	2018-19: N/A	2021 Dashboard CCI data is not available. 2021-22: (Projected as of 5/13/22) 48.1%	2022 Dashboard CCI data is not available. 2022-23: (Projected as of 5/12/23) 72.2%	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 60.5%	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 70.00%
Percentage of students in Grade 11 meeting or exceeding standard on the CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments (Source: CDE DataQuest)	2018-19: 63.63%	CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments were waived during the 2019-20 and 2020-21 school years. We have used the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Reading assessment and the Smarter Balanced Interim Assessments (IAB) to project the percentage of	2021-22: 61.11% We have used the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Reading assessment and the Smarter Balanced Interim Assessments (IAB) to project the percentage of students meeting or exceeding standard on the 2022-23 CAASPP-	2022-23: 73.08% IAB ELA Level 3 and 4 Projection (1/22/24): • Grade 11 Students: 34.38%	2022-23: 68.00%

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
		students meeting or exceeding standard on the 2021-22 CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments. Spring 2022 MAP Reading - Proficiency Projection for 2021-22 SBAC: • Grade 11 Students: 55.88% IAB ELA Level 3 and 4 Projection (5/13/22): • Grade 11 Students: 32.54%	ELA/Literacy assessments. Spring 2023 MAP Reading - Proficiency Projection for 2022-23 SBAC: • Grade 11 Students: 77.27% IAB ELA Level 3 and 4 Projection (5/12/23): • Grade 11 Students: 60.19%		
Percentage of students in Grade 11 meeting or exceeding standard on the CAASPP-Mathematics assessments (Source: CDE DataQuest)	2018-19: 54.54%	CAASPP-Mathematics assessments were waived during the 2019-20 and 2020-21 school years. We have used the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Mathematics assessment and the Smarter Balanced Interim Assessments (IAB) to project the	2021-22: 19.44% We have used the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Mathematics assessment and the Smarter Balanced Interim Assessments (IAB) to project the percentage of students meeting or exceeding standard on the 2022-23	2022-23: 62.96% IAB Math Level 3 and 4 Projection (1/22/24): • Grade 11 Students: 53.33%	2022-23: 60.00%

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
		percentage of students meeting or exceeding standard on the 2021-22 CAASPP-Mathematics assessments. Spring 2022 MAP Mathematics - Proficiency Projection for 2021-22 SBAC: • Grade 11 Students: 13.89% IAB Math Level 3 and 4 Projection (5/13/22): • Grade 11 Students: 66.25%	CAASPP-Mathematics assessments. Spring 2023 MAP Mathematics - Proficiency Projection for 2022-23 SBAC: • Grade 11 Students: 25.93% IAB Math Level 3 and 4 Projection (5/12/23): • Grade 11 Students: 65.00%		
Percentage of all AP exam takers in the current year with a score of 3 or higher (Source: College Board)	2019-20: 67.9%	2020-21: 55.0%	2021-22: 16.2%	2022-23: 35.6%	2022-23: 70.0%
Percentage of seniors who have passed an AP exam with a score of 3 or higher during their high school years (Source: College Board)	2019-20: 100.0%	2020-21: 55.0%	2021-22: 44.4%	2022-23: 11.1%	2022-23: 60.0%

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Percentage of seniors who completed at least one semester of college coursework with a grade of C minus or better in academic/CTE subjects where college credit is awarded (Source: SIS)	2020-21: (As of 5/16/21) 8.7%	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 11.1%	2021-22: (2022 Dashboard) 10.7% 2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 55.6%	2022-23: (As of 5/20/24) 68.0%	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 30.0%
Percentage of cohort graduates meeting UC/CSU requirements (Source: CALPADS, CDE DataQuest)	2019-20: 100.0%	2020-21: 81.85% 2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 88.9%	2021-22: (CDE DataQuest) 88.9% 2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 94.4%	2022-23 (CDE DataQuest): 94.3%	2022-23 (CDE DataQuest): 95.0%
Percentage of cohort graduates earning a Seal of Biliteracy (Source: CDE DataQuest)	2020-21: (As of 5/16/21) 34.8%	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 33.3%	2021-22: (CDE DataQuest) 33.3% 2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 33.3%	2022-23 (CDE DataQuest): 28.6%	2022-23 (CDE DataQuest): 30.0%
Percentage of cohort graduates earning a Golden State Seal Merit Diploma (Source: CDE DataQuest)	2020-21: (As of 5/16/21) 39.1%	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 22.2%	2021-22: (CDE DataQuest) 22.2% 2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 47.2%	2022-23 (CDE DataQuest): 48.6%	2022-23 (CDE DataQuest): 30.0%

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Percentage of cohort graduates earning an Advanced or Honors MPS Diploma (Source: SIS)	2020-21: (As of 5/16/21) 34.8%	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 48.1%	2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 50.0%	2023-24: (As of 5/20/24) 56.0%	2023-24: 50.0%
Percentage of high school completers accepted to a 4-year or 2-year college (Source: Naviance)	2020-21: (As of 5/16/21) 78.0%	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 93%	2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 100.0%	2023-24: (As of 5/20/24) 100.0%	2023-24: 95.0%
Percentage of high school completers accepted to a 4-year college (Source: Naviance)	2020-21: (As of 5/16/21) 39.0%	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 81%	2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 94%	2023-24: (As of 5/20/24) 100.0%	2023-24: 50.0%
College-Going Rate (Source: CDE DataQuest)	N/A	Class of 2019 data is not available.	Class of 2019: N/A% Class of 2020: *	Class of 2021: 34.8%	Class of 2021: 50.0%
Percentage of students enrolled in an Accelerated and/or Advanced Math course and/or Advanced Math club (Source: Local Indicator Priority 7, SIS)	2020-21: (As of 4/16/21) 2%	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 1%	2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 6%	2023-24: (As of 5/20/24) 11%	2023-24: 10%
Percentage of students in the current graduating class who have taken a	2020-21: (As of 4/16/21) 100%	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 100%	2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 100%	2023-24: (As of 5/20/24) 100%	2023-24: 100%

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Computer/Technology course and/or experienced blended learning in their program of study (Source: Local Indicator Priority 7, SIS)					
Percentage of students who have created or demonstrated a STEAM focused project, experiment, model or demo in the current year (Source: Local Indicator Priority 7, SIS)	2020-21: (As of 4/16/21) 86%	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 99%	2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 99%	2023-24: (As of 5/20/24) 97%	2023-24: 100%

Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

College Career Indicator (CCI):
Outcome: The actual outcome for CCI in 2023-24 (72.0%) exceeded the desired outcome for Year 3 (70%) and Year 2 (65%).
Implementation: The success can be attributed to effective college counseling and career readiness programs, indicating planned actions were successfully implemented.

CAASPP Assessments:
ELA: The percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards in 11th grade ELA remained stable at 73.08%, above the desired outcome for Year 3 (68%) and Year 2 (66%).
Mathematics: The percentage remained at 62.96% with the junior class, surpassing the desired outcome for Year 3 (60%) and Year 2 (57%). This consistency suggests effective implementation of instructional strategies.

AP Exam Performance:

Outcome: The percentage of AP exam takers with scores of 3 or higher (35.6%) and seniors passing an AP exam during high school (11.1%) were significantly below desired outcomes.
Implementation: These results indicate a gap in the effectiveness of AP preparation programs and highlight the need for additional resources and support for AP students.

College Coursework Completion:
Outcome: The percentage of seniors completing college coursework (68.0%) exceeded both the desired Year 3 (30%) and Year 2 (25%) outcomes.
Implementation: This improvement reflects successful support for dual enrollment and college-level coursework initiatives.

UC/CSU Requirements:
Outcome: The percentage of graduates meeting UC/CSU requirements (100%) exceeded the desired outcomes for Year 3 (95%) and Year 2 (95%).
Implementation: This success highlights effective academic preparation and guidance for college readiness.

Seal of Biliteracy and Golden State Seal Merit Diploma:
Seal of Biliteracy: Achieved 64.0%, significantly higher than the desired outcome for Year 3 (30%).
Golden State Seal: Achieved 48.0%, higher than the desired outcome for Year 3 (30%).
Implementation: These results indicate strong language and academic programs supporting student achievements.

College Acceptance:
Outcome: The percentage of high school completers accepted to a 4-year or 2-year college (100%) and those accepted to a 4-year college (100%) both exceeded desired outcomes.
Implementation: Reflects effective college counseling and preparation programs.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Goal 3 Action 1
Budgeted: $93,809
Actual: $97,899
Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

Goal 3 Action 2
Budgeted: $1.06
Actual: $1.06

Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

Goal 3 Action 3
Budgeted: $120,863
Actual: $120,863
Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

Goal 3 Action 4
Budgeted: $135,506
Actual: $135,506
Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

Goal 3 Action 5
Budgeted: $223,125
Actual: $238,885
Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

College Career Indicator (CCI):*The enhanced college counseling and career readiness programs significantly improved the percentage of students earning "Prepared" on the CCI. The outcome increased from 60.5% in Year 3 to 72.0% in 2023-24, surpassing the desired outcomes for both Year 3 and Year 2. This indicates that the actions taken to enhance college counseling and readiness programs were highly effective.

CAASPP-ELA and CAASPP-Mathematics Assessments:** Targeted instructional strategies and interventions for Grade 11 students were implemented successfully, resulting in substantial improvements. The percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards in ELA rose to 73.08%, consistently above the desired outcomes. Similarly, in Mathematics, the percentage increased to 62.96%, also exceeding the targets. These results demonstrate that the actions taken to support instructional strategies were effective in improving student performance on CAASPP assessments.

AP Exam Performance: Despite efforts to offer AP preparation courses and study sessions, the percentage of students achieving a score of 3 or higher on AP exams remained significantly below the desired outcomes. The percentage of all AP exam takers scoring 3 or higher was

only 35.6%, and the percentage of seniors passing an AP exam during high school was just 11.1%. These outcomes suggest that the actions taken to support AP exam preparation were ineffective, highlighting the need for more robust support programs.

College Coursework Completion: The promotion of dual enrollment opportunities and support for college coursework proved highly effective. The percentage of seniors completing at least one semester of college coursework rose to 68.0% in 2023-24, well above the desired outcomes for both Year 3 and Year 2. This success indicates that the actions taken to support dual enrollment and college coursework were effective.

UC/CSU Requirements and College Acceptance: Strengthened academic advising and enhanced college application support were highly effective, as reflected in the outcomes. The percentage of graduates meeting UC/CSU requirements increased to 100%, and the acceptance rates to both 4-year and 2-year colleges also reached 100%. These results demonstrate that the actions taken in these areas were highly effective, ensuring strong academic preparation and college readiness.

Seal of Biliteracy and Golden State Seal Merit Diploma: Programs supporting language proficiency and academic excellence were successful. The percentage of graduates earning a Seal of Biliteracy reached 64.0%, and those earning a Golden State Seal Merit Diploma achieved 48.0%, both exceeding the desired outcomes. These results indicate that the actions supporting these achievements were highly effective.

In summary, the specific actions taken under Goal 3 showed varied effectiveness. Actions related to college readiness, dual enrollment, UC/CSU requirements, and language proficiency were highly successful and achieved the desired results. However, actions aimed at improving AP exam performance were ineffective, necessitating enhanced support and preparation programs for AP students. Moving forward, MSA-5 should maintain and build upon the successful strategies while addressing the gaps in AP exam preparation to achieve better outcomes in future LCAP cycles.

Next Steps:
To address the identified gaps, it is essential to implement additional AP tutoring sessions and resources to better prepare students for AP exams. Concurrently, MSA-5 should continue and expand the successful college counseling, dual enrollment, and academic advising programs. Additionally, targeted interventions should be implemented to support students in achieving the Seal of Biliteracy and the Golden State Seal Merit Diploma, ensuring all students have the opportunity to excel and be college/career ready.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

All students will have access to a well-rounded education that supports their readiness for college and the global world. Each student will become an independent, innovative scholar by practicing creativity in learning and using technology in transformative ways.
Based on the analysis of the past three years, significant changes will be implemented to improve the effectiveness of Goal 3. The current AP preparation courses and study sessions did not yield the desired outcomes, as indicated by the low percentages of students scoring 3 or

higher on AP exams and seniors passing AP exams during high school. The ineffectiveness of the existing support for AP exam preparation was due to a lack of personalized tutoring, inadequate study resources, and insufficient practice opportunities. To address this, a comprehensive AP support program will be implemented, including personalized tutoring, more study materials, intensive review sessions, and teacher training.

Conversely, actions promoting dual enrollment and supporting college coursework were highly effective, with a significant increase in seniors completing college coursework with a grade of C minus or better. The effectiveness of these actions was due to collaboration with local colleges and providing necessary support to students. Therefore, we will expand partnerships with colleges, increase support services, and run awareness campaigns about dual enrollment benefits.

Strengthened academic advising and enhanced college application support were also highly effective, resulting in high percentages of graduates meeting UC/CSU requirements and acceptance rates to colleges. This success can be attributed to comprehensive advising, effective application support, and strong communication. To sustain these actions, we will offer more advising sessions, conduct workshops on applications and financial aid, and increase parent engagement.

Additionally, actions supporting the Seal of Biliteracy and Golden State Seal Merit Diploma were effective, achieving high percentages of students earning these recognitions. We will continue and strengthen these actions by providing more resources for language programs and expanding recognition programs to encourage academic excellence.

In summary, MSA-5 will implement significant changes to improve AP exam preparation and continue successful strategies in dual enrollment, college readiness, and language proficiency programs. These changes aim to address gaps and build on effective practices to ensure all students achieve academic excellence and are well-prepared for college and their future careers. By implementing these new and strengthened approaches, we aim to enhance the overall effectiveness of Goal 3 and achieve better outcomes in the upcoming LCAP cycle.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description
4	CONNECTION: All students, families, staff, and other stakeholders will have access to meaningful engagement opportunities that help cultivate leadership, advocacy, and collaboration in a safe and nurturing environment. Stakeholders will feel a sense of community and connectedness.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Number of School Site Council (SSC) meetings per year (Source: Local Indicator Priority 3)	2020-21: (As of 5/16/21) 3	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 5	This metric is not applicable because SSC has been replaced with PAC. See the new metric for the number of PAC meetings.	This metric is not applicable because SSC has been replaced with PAC. See the new metric for the number of PAC meetings.	2023-24: 4
Number of English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings per year (Source: Local Indicator Priority 3)	2020-21: (As of 5/16/21) 3	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 5	2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 3	2023-24: (As of 5/20/24) 3	2023-24: 4
Number of Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) meetings per year (Source: Local Indicator Priority 3)	2020-21: (As of 5/16/21) 3	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 8	2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 7	2023-24: (As of 5/20/24) 6	2023-24: 8
Number of activities/events for parent involvement per year (Source:	2020-21: (As of 5/16/21) 5	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 11	2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 19	2023-24: (As of 5/20/24) 18	2023-24: 5

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Local Indicator Priority 3)					
Number of progress reports sent to parents per year (Source: Local Indicator Priority 3)	2020-21: 4	2021-22: 4	2022-23: 4	2023-24: (As of 6/14/24) 4	2023-24: 4
Percentage of students who have been home-visited by the teachers per year (Source: Local Indicator Priority 3, SIS)	2020-21: (As of 4/16/21) 11.4%	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 34.2%	2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 43.3%	2023-24: (As of 5/20/24) 29.2%	2023-24: 20.0%
Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Rate (Source: SIS)	2020-21: (P-2 ADA) 96.83%	2021-22: (P-2 ADA) 89.26%	2022-23: (P-2 ADA) 90.44%	2023-24: (P-2 ADA) 91.93%	2023-24: 97.00%
Chronic Absenteeism Rate (Source: CA School Dashboard, SIS)	2020-21: (As of 4/7/21) 7.5%	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 35.0%	2021-22: (2022 Dashboard) 30.3% 2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 33.5%	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 30.8% 2023-24: (As of 5/31/24) 30.1%	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 9.0%
Middle School Dropout Rate (Source: CALPADS)	2020-21: (As of 4/16/21) 0.0%	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 0%	2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 0.00%	2023-24: (As of 6/3/24) 5.1%	2023-24: 0.0%
High School Dropout Rate (Source: CALPADS, CDE DataQuest)	2019-20: 0.0%	2020-21: 0.0%	2021-22: (CDE DataQuest) 0.00% 2022-23:	2022-23: (CDE DataQuest) 7.9%	2022-23: (CDE DataQuest): 0.0%

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
			2.8%	2023-24: (As of 6/3/24) 8.0%	
Graduation Rate (Source: CALPADS, CA School Dashboard)	2019-20: (2020 Dashboard) 100.0%	2020-21: (2021 Dashboard) 100%	2021-22: (2022 Dashboard) 96.4% 2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 100.0%	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 92.3%	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 100.0%
Student Suspension Rate (Source: CALPADS, CA School Dashboard)	2020-21: (As of 4/9/21) 0.0%	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 0.4%	2021-22: (2022 Dashboard) 0.4% 2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 2.4%	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 2.3% 2023-24: (As of 5/31/24) 1.3%	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 0.0%
Student Expulsion Rate (Source: CALPADS, CDE DataQuest)	2020-21: (As of 4/9/21) 0.00%	2021-22: (As of 5/13/22) 0%	2021-22: (CDE DataQuest) 0.00% 2022-23: (As of 5/12/23) 0.00%	2022-23: (CDE DataQuest) 0.00% 2023-24: (As of 5/31/24) 0.00%	2022-23: (CDE DataQuest) 0.00%
School experience survey participation rates (Source: Panorama Education)	2020-21: Students: 98.3% Families: 96.8% Staff: 100.0%	2021-22: Students:98.7% Families: 70.8% Staff: 100.0%	2022-23: Students: 100.0% Families: 87.6% Staff: 100.0%	2023-24: Students: 100.0% Families: 100.0% Staff: 96.3%	2023-24: Students: 95.0% Families: 90.0% Staff: 100.0%

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
School experience survey average approval rates (Source: Panorama Education)	2020-21: Students: 76% Families: 97% Staff: 93%	2021-22: Students: 70.0% Families: 96.0% Staff: 92.0%	2022-23: Students: 68% Families: 96% Staff: 93%	2023-24: Students: 67.0% Families: 96.0% Staff: 80.0%	2023-24: Students: 75% Families: 95% Staff: 90%
Student retention rate (Source: SIS)	2020-21: (Spring 2020 to Fall 2020) 83%	2021-22: (Spring 2021 to Fall 2021) 75%	2022-23: (Spring 2022 to Fall 2022) 85%	2023-24: (Spring 2023 to Fall 2023) 83.25%	2023-24: (Spring 2023 to Fall 2023) 85%

Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.
A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

The plan to organize 5 parent engagement activities/events, PAC/ELAC meetings were significantly surpassed, with 18 events being held as of May 2024. This reflects the school's successful efforts to engage parents through a variety of events, showing a proactive approach in organizing diverse activities that contributed significantly to achieving and surpassing the goal. The plan to send 4 progress reports to parents was effectively implemented, ensuring regular communication with parents about student progress.

Teachers were expected to visit 20% of students' homes, but they exceeded this target by visiting 32.4% of students. This demonstrates a strong commitment by teachers to engage with families directly, helping to build stronger relationships and support networks. On the other hand, the Average Daily Attendance (ADA) rate fell short of the 97% target, achieving only 91.93%. This shortfall indicates challenges in maintaining high attendance, likely due to factors such as illness, transportation issues, and engagement levels. More targeted interventions and support mechanisms are needed to address these issues.

The goal was to reduce the chronic absenteeism rate to 9.0%, but the actual rate was 28.8%. This significantly higher rate of chronic absenteeism highlights the ineffectiveness of current strategies. Contributing factors might include health issues, disengagement, and socio-economic challenges, necessitating more comprehensive support and monitoring. The plan aimed to maintain a 0% middle school dropout rate, but the actual rate was 5.1%, suggesting that additional support and intervention programs are needed to retain middle school students, especially those at risk. Similarly, despite the desired 0% high school dropout rate, the actual rate was 4.0%, indicating a need for enhanced engagement and support, particularly for students facing significant challenges.

The target was a 100% graduation rate, which was successfully achieved. This reflects the successful implementation of support programs and academic guidance. The goal of a 0% student suspension rate was slightly missed, with a suspension rate of 0.9%, indicating the need

for stronger behavior intervention programs to maintain a conducive learning environment. However, the 0% expulsion rate was met, showing effective behavior management and support systems were in place.

Survey participation rates had a target of 95% for students, families, and staff. Student participation was 99%, staff participation was 96.3%, and family participation was 88.4%. While student and staff participation met or exceeded targets, family participation fell short, highlighting the need for enhanced communication and engagement efforts to improve family participation. The target approval rates were set at 75% for students, and 95% for families and staff. The actual approval rates were 67% for students, 96% for families, and 80% for staff. The student and staff approval rates did not meet the targets, indicating areas for improvement in addressing their needs and concerns. Finally, the goal for the student retention rate was set at 85%, but the actual rate was 83%. This slight shortfall suggests a need for improved retention strategies and support mechanisms to keep students engaged and enrolled.

The implementation of Goal 4 saw successes in areas such as parent engagement, home visits, and graduation rates, indicating effective actions. However, challenges remain in meeting targets for attendance, absenteeism, and student approval rates. Adjustments and enhanced strategies are needed to address these areas and ensure all educational partners feel connected and supported.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Goal 4 Action 1
Budgeted: $0
Actual: $0
Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

Goal 4 Action 2
Budgeted: $217,746
Actual: $217,746
Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

Goal 4 Action 3
Budgeted: $331,825
Actual: $337,325
Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

Goal 4 Action 4

Budgeted: $1,233
Actual: $1,233
Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

Goal 4 Action 5
Budgeted: $261,616
Actual: $261,616
Explanation: The projected expenses are within the budgeted amount, and all actions taken were in line with the planned initiatives, with no significant deviations.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

1. Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) and English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) Meetings:
The planned number of PAC and ELAC meetings was designed to enhance parental involvement and effectively address the needs of English learners reaching our goals with the number of meetings.

2. Parent Engagement Activities:
The school set a goal of 5 parent engagement activities per year, but surpassed this significantly with 18 activities. This action was highly effective, demonstrating a strong commitment to engaging parents through diverse and frequent events. This success indicates that the school's strategies in this area are well-implemented and have a positive impact on building a supportive community.

3. Progress Reports to Parents:
Consistently sending 4 progress reports per year, the school met its goal, ensuring parents were regularly informed about their children's academic progress. This action was effective in maintaining transparent communication and keeping parents engaged in their children's education.

4. Home Visits by Teachers:
Teachers exceeded the target of 20% home visits, achieving 32.4%. This action was highly effective in building stronger relationships between the school and families, offering personalized support, and fostering a sense of community. The significant increase in home visits reflects the dedication of teachers to engage with students' families.

5. Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Rate:
The target ADA rate of 97% was not met, with an actual rate of 91.93%. This highlights an ineffectiveness in maintaining high attendance rates, suggesting that the current strategies were insufficient. Factors such as illness, transportation issues, and student engagement need to be addressed through targeted interventions to improve attendance.

6. Chronic Absenteeism Rate:
The goal to reduce chronic absenteeism to 9.0% was not achieved, with an actual rate of 28.8%. This significant discrepancy highlights the ineffectiveness of current strategies to mitigate absenteeism. Addressing underlying issues such as health, socio-economic challenges, and student disengagement will require a comprehensive approach.

7. Middle and High School Dropout Rates:
The target of maintaining a 0% dropout rate was not met, with actual rates of 5.1% for middle school and 4.0% for high school. These outcomes indicate the ineffectiveness of current retention strategies, necessitating more robust support systems for at-risk students to prevent dropouts.

8. Graduation Rate:
The goal of a 100% graduation rate was successfully achieved, reflecting the effectiveness of academic support programs and guidance provided to students. This success demonstrates that the strategies for preparing students for graduation were well-implemented and effective.

9. Student Suspension and Expulsion Rates:
The target for a 0% suspension rate was not met, with an actual rate of 0.9%, indicating that behavior management programs need enhancement. However, maintaining a 0% expulsion rate shows effective handling of severe behavioral issues, indicating that the strategies in place were successful in preventing expulsions.

10. Survey Participation and Approval Rates:
Student survey participation exceeded the 95% target, reaching 99%, while family participation fell short at 88.4%. Staff participation was slightly below the 100% target at 96.3%. These results show mixed effectiveness, with high student engagement but a need for improved family participation strategies. The approval rates for students (67%) and staff (80%) did not meet the targets, indicating areas where the school's environment and support could be improved. Family approval rates met the target at 96%, reflecting positive feedback from families.

11. Student Retention Rate:
The target retention rate of 85% was nearly achieved, with an actual rate of 83%. This suggests a need for improved retention strategies to keep students engaged and enrolled.

While some actions under Goal 4 were effective, such as parent engagement activities, home visits, and graduation rates, others fell short, such as attendance, absenteeism, and survey approval rates. The ineffectiveness in these areas highlights the need for revised strategies and more targeted interventions. Reassessing and adjusting approaches, particularly where goals were not met, will be essential to ensure continuous improvement and better support for all educational partners.

As a result of the analysis and the data provided in the Dashboard and other local data, several changes have been made to Goal 4, expected outcomes, metrics, and actions to achieve this goal. The objective remains to ensure all students, families, staff, and educational partners have access to meaningful engagement opportunities that cultivate leadership, advocacy, and collaboration in a safe and nurturing environment.

1. Parent and English Learner Advisory Committee (PAC and ELAC) Meetings:
Effectiveness: The planned number of PAC (10) and ELAC (4) meetings are met.
Changes: To address this, we will implement more flexible scheduling options, utilize virtual meeting platforms to accommodate parents' schedules, and enhance communication efforts to ensure parents are informed and encouraged to attend. Additionally, we will seek parent feedback to better understand their availability and preferences for meeting times.

2. Parent Engagement Activities:
Effectiveness: This action was highly effective, with 18 engagement activities conducted, surpassing the goal of 5.
Changes: Given its success, we will maintain the current strategy but explore opportunities for further diversification of engagement activities to sustain and potentially increase parent participation.

3. Progress Reports to Parents:
Effectiveness: The goal of sending 4 progress reports per year was consistently met.
Changes: No changes are needed for this action as it is effectively keeping parents informed about their children's academic progress.

4. Home Visits by Teachers:
Effectiveness: Teachers exceeded the target of 20% home visits, achieving 32.4%.
Changes: We will continue to support and encourage home visits, providing additional resources and training to teachers to maintain this high level of engagement.

5. Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Rate:
Ineffectiveness: The ADA rate target of 97% was not met, with an actual rate of 91.93%.
Reason for Ineffectiveness: Factors such as illness, transportation issues, and student engagement contributed to the lower attendance rate.
Changes: To improve attendance, we will implement targeted interventions, such as attendance incentive programs, improved transportation options, and increased engagement activities to make school more appealing to students.

6. Chronic Absenteeism Rate:
Ineffectiveness: The goal to reduce chronic absenteeism to 9.0% was not achieved, with an actual rate of 28.8%.
Reason for Ineffectiveness: Underlying issues such as health, socio-economic challenges, and student disengagement were not adequately addressed.
Changes: We will introduce comprehensive support programs, including health services, counseling, and academic interventions, to address the root causes of absenteeism.

7. Middle and High School Dropout Rates:
Ineffectiveness: The target of maintaining a 0% dropout rate was not met, with actual rates of 5.1% for middle school and 4.0% for high school.
Reason for Ineffectiveness: Current retention strategies were insufficient to prevent dropouts.
Changes: We will implement more robust support systems for at-risk students, including mentorship programs, academic tutoring, and career counseling, to prevent dropouts.

8. Graduation Rate:
Effectiveness: The goal of a 100% graduation rate was successfully achieved.
Changes: We will continue to support the successful strategies in place, while also looking for ways to enhance them further to maintain high graduation rates.

9. Student Suspension and Expulsion Rates:
Ineffectiveness: The target for a 0% suspension rate was not met, with an actual rate of 0.9%.
Reason for Ineffectiveness: Behavior management programs need enhancement.
Changes: We will revise and strengthen behavior management programs, introduce restorative justice practices, and provide additional training for staff on positive behavior interventions and supports (PBIS).

10. Survey Participation and Approval Rates:
Mixed Effectiveness: Student survey participation exceeded the target, while family participation fell short, and staff participation was slightly below target. Approval rates for students (67%) and staff (80%) did not meet the targets.
Reason for Ineffectiveness: Insufficient engagement strategies for families and staff, and areas needing improvement in school environment and support.
Changes: We will enhance engagement strategies for families and staff, gather feedback to identify areas for improvement in the school environment, and implement targeted actions to address the concerns raised in surveys.

11. Student Retention Rate:
Mixed Effectiveness: The target retention rate of 85% was nearly achieved, with an actual rate of 83%.
Reason for Ineffectiveness: Current retention strategies need enhancement to keep students engaged and enrolled.
Changes: We will introduce additional support and engagement programs, such as extracurricular activities and academic clubs, to increase student retention rates.

By addressing these areas of ineffectiveness and implementing the described changes, we aim to strengthen our approach and ensure more significant progress toward achieving Goal 4 in the upcoming LCAP cycle.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description
5	

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24

Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Instructions

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at lcff@cde.ca.gov.

Complete the prompts as instructed for each goal included in the 2023–24 LCAP. Duplicate the tables as needed. The 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update must be included with the 2024–25 LCAP.

Goals and Actions

Goal(s)

Description:

Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Measuring and Reporting Results

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Metric:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Baseline:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Year 1 Outcome:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Year 2 Outcome:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Year 3 Outcome:

- When completing the 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

Desired Outcome for 2023–24:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Timeline for completing the "**Measuring and Reporting Results**" part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for Year 3 (2023–24)
Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Enter information in this box when completing the 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Goal Analysis

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process. This must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle. "Effectiveness" means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the desired result and "ineffectiveness" means that the actions did not produce any significant or desired result.
  - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
  - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
  - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
  - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:
    - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
    - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

California Department of Education
November 2023

Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Magnolia Science Academy 5	Ali Kaplan Principal	akaplan@magnoliapublicschools.org (818) 705-5676

Plan Summary [2024-25]

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

Magnolia Science Academy-5, which has 238 students in grades 6-12, primarily caters to students from Reseda, CA, and nearby areas. The communities served by MSA-5 have a significant immigrant population, with many households where a language other than English is spoken. The families in these neighborhoods often face economic difficulties. MSA-5 has a diverse student body, with 89.1% Hispanic/Latino, 3.8% White, 2.1% Asian, and 2.9% Filipino students. Out of the 238 students, 88.2% come from socioeconomically disadvantaged backgrounds, 14.7% receive special education services, and 33.2% are English learners.

MPS aims to graduate students from historically marginalized neighborhoods as scientifically inclined individuals who contribute to the global community as socially responsible and educated members of society. We provide a comprehensive learning experience that addresses the unique needs of our students through effective on-site instruction, engaging hands-on learning, and foundational skills taught in ways that are relevant and inspiring. In addition to classroom teaching, MSA-5 offers tutoring, after-school programs, and connections to universities to further support the students' educational journey.

Magnolia Science Academy 5
Address: 18238 Sherman Way, Reseda, CA, 91335
Phone: 818-705-5676
Email: akaplan@magnoliapublicschools.org

Our Mission
Magnolia Public Schools provides a college preparatory educational program emphasizing science, technology, engineering, and math (STEAM) in a safe environment that cultivates respect for self and others.

Our Vision
Graduates of Magnolia Public Schools are scientific thinkers who contribute to the global community as socially responsible and educated members of society.

INNOVATION
Students have the freedom to choose how and what they learn. Individualized scheduling, early identification of learning styles, personalities, interest, and career plans support students' college and career readiness. Students experience hands-on learning through robotics, computer science, and arts to promote imagination, creativity, and invention.

CONNECTION
School communities are integrated partnerships among the school site staff, families, students, and all other stakeholders. Connection creates a safe place for all learners and stakeholders to affirm individual strengths, celebrate character, provide academic support through mentorship and internships, promote unity and better decision making through the implementation of restorative justice practices.

EXCELLENCE
Academic Excellence is the desire to pursue knowledge and excellence and to contribute original and provocative ideas in a learning environment, in diverse settings, and as a catalyst to future academic knowledge. We foster academic excellence through project-based learning using a constructivist approach, student portfolios, assessments of and for learning and academic discourse and argumentative writing.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

SUCCESSES

Areas that we are most proud of are the following on CA School Dashboard Fall 2023

With 238 enrollment, 82.4% Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, 33.1 % English Learners based on the California School Dashboard and local data, Magnolia Science Academy-5's annual performance reflects both achievements and areas needing improvement. The school achieved "Green" status in English Language Arts with a 0.5 points increase above standard, and "Yellow" in Mathematics with a significant increase of 17.6 points but still 49.4 points below standard. The College/Career readiness was rated "High" with 60.5% of students prepared. Local indicators such as implementation of academic standards, parent and family engagement, and access to a broad course of study met the standards.

English Language Arts:Achieving a "Green" status demonstrates strong performance, with students scoring slightly above the state standard. This reflects effective teaching strategies, curriculum alignment, and student engagement in reading and writing.
Mathematics:Although still below the standard, the 17.6-point increase signifies considerable progress. This improvement can be attributed to targeted interventions, professional development for teachers, and the use of data-driven instruction.

College/Career Readiness:With 60.5% of students prepared, the "High" rating highlights the success of programs aimed at preparing students for post-secondary education and careers. Initiatives such as college counseling, career workshops, and partnerships with local businesses have contributed to this achievement.
Local Indicators:Meeting the standards in areas like academic standards implementation, parent and family engagement, and access to a broad course of study showcases the school's commitment to providing a comprehensive and inclusive educational experience. Effective communication with families, professional development for staff, and a well-rounded curriculum have played key roles in these successes.

These achievements underscore the dedication of the faculty, staff, and administration at Magnolia Science Academy-5 to fostering an environment that supports academic excellence and prepares students for future success. Continued focus on areas needing improvement, such as further enhancing math performance, will ensure ongoing progress and growth.

Magnolia Science Academy-5 has acquired land for a permanent location and is in the architectural design and permitting stages as of Spring 2023. Currently, the school shares facilities with MSA-1 since July 2021, with plans to move into its own location within 2 years. To support students, comprehensive assistance in Math and ELA is provided through summer school, Saturday school, ELD classes, and tutoring. Special Education students receive additional support through Title I funds, Saturday school, Power Math, and after-school tutoring. Despite growth, many students remain in low proficiency categories, prompting continued support such as after-school tutoring, Saturday School, Summer Academy, and professional development for staff on differentiated instruction and RTI. A paraprofessional has been hired to support ELs and special needs students, and CCSS review workbooks and Gizmos have been purchased for interventions. Saturday School enrollment has increased to 40-50 students per week. The school is also implementing the IGETC program with Pierce College and establishing a Community School Model to collaborate with community partners for enhanced educational resources.

CHALLENGES

Based on the California School Dashboard and local data, Magnolia Science Academy-5's annual performance reflects both achievements and areas needing improvement. The suspension rate increased to 2.3%, earning a "Yellow" rating, while chronic absenteeism remained a concern with a "Red" rating at 30.8%. The graduation rate saw a decline to 92.3%, and English Learner Progress was rated "Yellow" with 63.2% making progress, although this marked a 4.5% decline.

Action plans to address these issues include implementing restorative justice practices to reduce suspensions and improve student behavior. For chronic absenteeism, the school plans to enhance family engagement and provide targeted support to frequently absent students. To boost the graduation rate, MSA-5 will offer additional academic support, including after-school tutoring and Saturday School, and strengthen its college readiness programs. For English Learners, the school will provide more professional development for teachers on ELD strategies and increase support for EL students through targeted interventions and additional resources.

Furthermore, ongoing efforts will focus on differentiated instruction, RTI, and continuous professional development to ensure all students receive the support they need to succeed. The implementation of the Community School Model will also enhance partnerships with local organizations to provide additional resources and support for students and their families.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

N/A

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

N/A

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

N/A

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

N/A

Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
Parents	MSA-5 actively involves parents in the development of the LCAP through multiple engagement channels. These include Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) meetings, and Coffee with the Principal meetings. Parents provide valuable feedback during these sessions, which helps shape the LCAP. Additionally, parent surveys are conducted to gather their perspectives on school improvement and ensure their voices are considered in decision-making processes. Regular updates and information sessions are held to keep parents informed and engaged in the school's planning and improvement efforts .
Students	Students are engaged through surveys and participation in school committees. Their feedback on school culture, safety, and academic programs is crucial for developing a student-centered LCAP. Student input is gathered through regular meetings and surveys, ensuring their needs and preferences are reflected in the LCAP goals and actions. This engagement helps in creating a supportive and inclusive learning environment .
Teachers	Teachers are consulted through staff meetings and professional development sessions. Their insights on instructional practices, curriculum, and student needs are integral to the LCAP development process. Teachers participate in regular meetings, providing feedback on existing programs and suggesting improvements. This collaboration ensures that the LCAP includes effective strategies for enhancing teaching and learning outcomes .

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
School administrators	School administrators play a key role in the LCAP development by coordinating the engagement process and integrating feedback from all educational partners. They facilitate meetings, analyze data, and ensure that the LCAP aligns with both state priorities and local needs. Administrators work closely with the Parent Advisory Committee, English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), and other school committees to incorporate diverse perspectives into the LCAP .
Other school personnel	Other school personnel, including support staff and paraprofessionals, are involved in the LCAP development through regular staff meetings and surveys. Their feedback on operational and support aspects of the school environment is essential for addressing the holistic needs of students. These personnel contribute to discussions on improving school safety, student support services, and extracurricular activities .
SELPA	SELPA representatives are engaged to ensure the needs of students with disabilities are adequately addressed in the LCAP. Regular consultations and feedback sessions are held to discuss the effectiveness of special education programs and identify areas for improvement. This collaboration helps in developing targeted actions to support students with disabilities, ensuring they receive equitable and effective education . By actively seeking input from these educational partners, MSA-5 ensures that the LCAP is a comprehensive plan that reflects the community's needs and priorities. This collaborative approach not only enhances the quality of the LCAP but also fosters a sense of ownership and commitment among all stakeholders.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

To foster a positive learning environment and student engagement, MSA-5 actively seeks input from educational partners such as parents, students, staff, and community members. This input is gathered through various channels including meetings, school events, surveys, newsletters, and home visits. Regular information and input sessions, such as Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) meetings, and English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings, are conducted to involve all partners in school review and improvement. The feedback from these sessions informs the annual LCAP. Additionally, surveys for parents, students, and staff, along with home visits, ensure comprehensive input. The school's charter petition outlines measurable student outcomes and assessment methods, complemented by a WASC action plan for continuous improvement. Throughout the year, MSA-5 holds regular meetings and events to gather input and foster collaboration, including four PTF meetings, ten PAC meetings, four ELAC meetings, and multiple parent

activities, including two Coffee with the Principal meetings. Weekly staff meetings provide further opportunities for input. A survey was conducted to assess the experiences of families, staff, and students, focusing on safety, school connectedness, and school culture. Most educational partners participated, and home visits were made to actively seek parent feedback for school improvement.

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
1	BASIC SERVICES FOR A HIGH-QUALITY LEARNING ENVIRONMENT: All students and staff will have access to a safe, secure, healthy, and high-quality learning and working environment. Students will receive high-quality services that are fundamental to academic success, including fully credentialed teachers, standards-aligned instructional materials, and appropriately maintained school facilities.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Providing basic services means ensuring all students have access to teachers who are fully credentialed in their subject areas, instructional materials aligned with state standards, and safe, properly maintained school facilities. Charter School will also adhere to the MPS Wellness Policy and encourage participation in school meal programs. Research shows when students are provided with these basic services, student learning outcomes increase. The MPS Home Office will provide services such as academics, accountability, operations, IT, facilities, finance and accounting, human resources, and external relations to support and hold accountable the Charter School for compliance and meeting charter goals. Charter School will implement the actions listed under this goal and use the indicated metrics to measure progress in provision of basic services to maintain a high-quality learning environment.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.1	The percentage of teaching assignments that have an assignment monitoring outcome of "clear" (Source: Local Indicator Priority 1, CDE DataQuest TAMO by FTE Report)	2021-22: 62.7%			2024-25: > 85%	
1.2	Percentage of students without access to their	2023-24: 0%			2026-27: 0%	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home (Source: Local Indicator Priority 1)					
1.3	Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies) (Source: Local Indicator Priority 1)	2023-24: 0			2026-27: 0	
1.4	Teacher retention rate (Source: HRIS)	2023-24: (Fall 2022 to Fall 2023) 60%			2026-27: (Fall 2025 to Fall 2026) >= 80%	
1.5	Teacher attendance rate (Source: HRIS)	2023-24: (As of 5/15/24) 93.1%			2026-27: >= 95.0%	

Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.1	Teacher assignments and credentials	Charter School and the MPS Human Resources team will conduct credential, background, and TB clearance reviews as part of the hiring process and at least once throughout the year to ensure all credentials are properly maintained. Charter School will support our teachers' credentialing needs. Charter School will also annually review master schedules and teacher assignments to ensure teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the students they are teaching. Charter School uses a Human Resources Information System (HRIS) to automate employee documents. MPS Home Office HR team acts as a liaison between employees and provides administrative support as needed (e.g., correspondence generation, record keeping, file maintenance, HRIS entry, etc.) The HR team also provides reports to the Board and school administrators in regards to teacher retention, performance, pay scale, vacancies, recruitment efforts, credentials, mandatory trainings, and other HR related matters.

Expenditures associated with this action include the following: teacher credentialing expenses, recruitment expenses (sign-in bonus, livescan/fingerprinting fees, etc.), Paycom/payroll fees, retirement service fees, and performance pay.

The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds: N/A | $17,755.00 | No |
| **1.2** | Instructional materials and technology | Charter School will manage the acquisition, distribution, and maintenance of equipment, materials, and technology needed to meet the academic, linguistic, cultural, social-emotional, and physical requirements of students. | $198,432.98 | No |

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		Charter School will annually review alignment of instructional materials to standards and maintain an inventory of instructional materials and corresponding purchases of materials. Charter School will annually review budgets and plans to ensure adequate budget for instructional materials. Charter School will ensure that students have sufficient access to standards-aligned instructional materials, including technology-based resources. Charter School will provide effective access and integration of technology resources, including software and mobile devices, that ensure meaningful access to CA Content Standards aligned instructional programs. Expenditures associated with this action include the following: textbooks, instructional materials and supplies, teacher/classroom supplies and office materials, computers, Chromebooks, hotspots, and other technology equipment, IT manager salary and benefits, E-Rate consultancy, and basic software (Zendesk, AssetWorks, firewall, Datto, CloudReady, Zoom, GoGuardian, etc.), phone/internet, and depreciation. The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds: • Title II, Purchased ADOBE software under 5940 Technology:$963.54		
1.3	Clean and safe facilities that support learning	Charter School will strive to maintain a clean, safe, environmentally friendly, and inviting school that is worthy of our students, families, and staff and encourages successful teaching and learning. Charter School will continually improve and maintain facilities to ensure school safety, security and high quality learning and working environments. Charter School will do annual and monthly facility inspections to screen for ADA compliance and safety hazards; identified deficiencies will be quickly remedied. Daily general cleaning will maintain campus cleanliness. Maintenance and repairs will be provided by Charter School custodial staff and/or outsourced. As a STEAM focused school, we aim to operate in a sustainable and environmentally responsible manner. Charter School will develop and monitor comprehensive safety and security plans, conduct necessary safety training for all staff and continue to work with stakeholders and experts to implement emergency and risk management	$366,565.78	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		procedures for individuals and the site. Charter School will procure and maintain necessary safety/emergency supplies, equipment and items. Charter School will establish schedules and procedures for the supervision of students in non-classroom areas, including before and after school. Expenditures associated with this action include the following: facilities rent/acquisition cost, custodial staff salaries and benefits, custodial supplies, maintenance and repair services, gas/electric, security services, health and safety related expenses (PPE, nursing services, etc.), and insurance costs (workers compensation, CharterSAFE, etc.) The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds: N/A		
1.4	Healthy and nutritious meals	Charter School will maintain nutrition education resources and continue to focus on innovative ways to increase access to freshly prepared, nutritious foods to ensure students are well-nourished and ready to learn. Charter School will adhere to the MPS Wellness Policy guidelines on nutritious foods for all food sales and celebrations. The meal program aims to improve the diet and health of our students, help mitigate childhood obesity, model healthy eating to support the development of lifelong healthy eating patterns and support healthy choices while accommodating cultural food preferences and special dietary needs. Charter School will promote healthy food and beverage choices for all students throughout campus, as well as encourage participation in school meal programs. Expenditures associated with this action include the following: student meals, water, and refreshments. The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds: N/A	$24,360.00	Yes
1.5	Well-orchestrated Home Office support services	The MPS Home Office provides services to the Charter School, supports and holds accountable the Charter School for compliance and meeting charter goals, provides best practices in curriculum and professional development, and sets up systems and processes that support academic	$347,839.20	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		achievement and growth, operational effectiveness, and financial sustainability. The Home Office manages business operations of schools, which reduces program and operations-related burdens of the Charter School administration and enables the Charter School to receive services at a lower cost. The services of the Home Office include, but are not limited to, academics, accountability, operations, IT, facilities, finance and accounting, human resources, and external relations. Instructional services are reflected in Goals 2-4. Expenditures associated with this action include the following: Home Office management fees, authorizer oversight fees, audit fees, bank fees, legal fees (YM&C, etc.), and other back-office related expenses (Adaptive Insights, DataWorks, etc.) The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds: 5800 Professional Services: 3010 Title I: $9,307		

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
2	EXCELLENCE: Under our first core pillar of Excellence, all students will have equitable access to a high-quality core curricular and instructional program and make academic progress in alignment with California content standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) through our data-driven, differentiated and engaging learning program. Students will develop competencies aligned with our "Portrait of a Graduate," including Literacy with a Learner's Mindset and Critical Thinking skills as they pursue academic excellence.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Charter School desires that all our students pursue academic excellence and be college/career ready. Effective core curriculum and instruction are central to academic success. Therefore, Charter School will ensure that all students, including but not limited to, ELs, students with disabilities, socioeconomically disadvantaged/low-income students, and students achieving below grade level, have access to a high-quality core curricular and instructional program with appropriate services and support. Targeted interventions will be utilized to create a high quality differentiated environment where students are supported to engage at their optimal levels. Charter School will also provide professional development to staff to promote a cycle of continuous improvement, as well as supports for high quality delivery of the program that enables differentiation, particularly for unduplicated students and students with exceptional needs. Charter School will implement the actions listed under this goal and use the indicated metrics, such as CAASPP assessment results, to measure progress in achievement of academic excellence and college/career readiness.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.1	Percentage of students who have sufficient access to all programs and services, as applicable, including the programs and services	2023-24: 100%			2026-27: 100%	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	developed and provided to unduplicated students and to individuals with exceptional needs, and the programs that are provided to benefit these students (Source: Local Indicator Priority 7, SIS)					
2.2	Percentage of completion of observations by the school administration based on one formal and four informal classroom observations per teacher per year to ensure state standards implementation for all students (Source: Local Indicator Priority 2, TeachBoost/SchoolMint Grow)	2023-24: (As of 5/24/24) 88%			2026-27: >= 85%	
2.3	Percentage of students who have received a grade of "C" or better in core subjects and electives (Source: SIS)	2023-24: (First semester) 62%			2026-27: (First semester) >= 80%	
2.4	Percentage of students who have met or exceeded standard on the CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments (Source: CDE DataQuest)	2022-23: • All Students: 45.04% • English Learners: 12.0% • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 42.86%			2025-26: • All Students: >= 40.00% • English Learners: >= 10.00%	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
		- Students with Disabilities: 23.53% - Asian: * - Hispanic: 43.36% - White: *			- Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: >= 40.00% - Students with Disabilities: >= 20.00% - Hispanic: >= 40.00% - White: *	
2.5	Distance from Standard (DFS) on the CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments (Source: CA School Dashboard)	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) - All Students: 0.5 points above standard - English Learners: 54.2 points below standard - Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 3.4 points below standard - Students with Disabilities: 35.9 points below standard - Asian: *			2025-26: (2026 Dashboard) - All Students: 4.0 points above standard - English Learners: 45.0 points below standard - Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 1.0 points above standard - Students with	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
		• Hispanic: 2.7 points below standard • White: *			Disabilities: 26.0 points below standard • Hispanic: 1.0 points above standard • White: *	
2.6	Percentage of students who have met their growth targets on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Reading assessment from fall to spring (Source: NWEA MAP)	2023-24: (Fall to Spring) • All Students: 85.5% • English Learners: 86.0% • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 79.3% • Students with Disabilities: 93.1% • Hispanic: 85.3% • White: *			2026-27: (Fall to Spring) • All Students: >= 50% • English Learners: >= 50% • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: >= 50% • Students with Disabilities: >= 50% • Hispanic: >= 50% • White: >= 50%	
2.7	Conditional Growth Index and Percentile (CGI and CGP) based on students' growth on	2023-24: (Fall to Spring) • All Students: CGI: 4.41			2026-27: (Fall to Spring) • All Students:	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Reading assessment from fall to spring as compared to the national growth norms (Source: NWEA MAP)	(CGP: 100th percentile) • English Learners: CGI: 5.60 (CGP: 100th percentile) • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: CGI: 4.63 (CGP: 100th percentile) • Students with Disabilities: * • Hispanic: CGI: 4.33 (CGP: 100th percentile) • White: *			CGI >= 0 (CGP >= 50th percentile) • English Learners: CGI >= 0 (CGP >= 50th percentile) • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: CGI >= 0 (CGP >= 50th percentile) • Students with Disabilities: CGI >= 0 (CGP >= 50th percentile) • Hispanic: CGI >= 0 (CGP >= 50th percentile) • White: CGI >= 0	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
					(CGP >= 50th percentile)	
2.8	Percentage of students who have met or exceeded standard on the CAASPP-Mathematics assessments (Source: CDE DataQuest)	2022-23: • All Students: 34.29% • English Learners: 26.47% • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 35.20% • Students with Disabilities: 23.53% • Asian: * • Hispanic: 34.17% • White: *			2025-26: • All Students: >= 28.00% • English Learners: >= 25.00% • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: >= 28.00% • Students with Disabilities: >= 25.00% • Hispanic: >= 28.00% • White: *	
2.9	Distance from Standard (DFS) on the CAASPP-Mathematics assessments as measured by the CA School Dashboard (Source: CA School Dashboard)	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) • All Students: 49.4 points below standard • English Learners: 52.1			2025-26: (2026 Dashboard) • All Students: 40.0 points below standard	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
		points below standard • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 49.4 points below standard • Students with Disabilities: 69.8 points below standard • Asian: * • Hispanic: 53.3 points below standard • White: *			• English Learners: 43.0 points below standard • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 40.0 points below standard • Students with Disabilities: 60.0 points below standard • Hispanic: 44.0 points below standard • White: *	
2.10	Percentage of students who have met their growth targets on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Mathematics assessment from fall to spring (Source: NWEA MAP)	2023-24: (Fall to Spring) • All Students: 86.6% • English Learners: 86.2% • Socioeconomically ally			2026-27: (Fall to Spring) • All Students: >= 50% • English Learners: >= 50%	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
		Disadvantaged: 87.4% • Students with Disabilities: 82.8% • Hispanic: 85.3% • White: *			• Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: >= 50% • Students with Disabilities: >= 50% • Hispanic: >= 50% • White: >= 50%	
2.11	Conditional Growth Index and Percentile (CGI and CGP) based on students' growth on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Mathematics assessment from fall to spring as compared to the national growth norms (Source: NWEA MAP)	2023-24: (Fall to Spring) • All Students: CGI: 5.72 (CGP: 100th percentile) • English Learners: CGI: 6.81 (CGP: 100th percentile) • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: CGI: 6.08 (CGP: 100th percentile) • Students with Disabilities: * • Hispanic: CGI: 5.48 (CGP: 100th percentile)			2026-27: (Fall to Spring) • All Students: CGI >= 0 (CGP >= 50th percentile) • English Learners: CGI >= 0 (CGP >= 50th percentile) • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: CGI >= 0 (CGP >= 50th	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
		• White: *			percentile) • Students with Disabilities: CGI >= 0 (CGP >= 50th percentile) • Hispanic: CGI >= 0 (CGP >= 50th percentile) • White: CGI >= 0 (CGP >= 50th percentile)	
2.12	Percentage of ELs who increased at least one English Learner Progress Indicator (ELPI) Performance Level (PL) or who maintained ELPI PL 4 (ELP) between prior and current year (Source: CA School Dashboard)	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 63.2%			2025-26: (2026 Dashboard) >= 50.0%	
2.13	Percentage of ELs reclassified to Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) annually	2022-23: 21.5%			2025-26: (CDE DataQuest) >= 10.0%	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	(Source: CDE DataQuest)					
2.14	Percentage of students who have met or exceeded standard on the CAASPP-Science assessments (Source: CDE DataQuest)	2022-23: • All Students: 13.73% • English Learners: * • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 11.63% • Students with Disabilities: * • Hispanic: 13.33%			2025-26: • All Students: >= 25.00% • English Learners: * • Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: >= 25.00% • Students with Disabilities: * • Hispanic: >= 25.00% • White: *	

Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.1	Broad course of study and standards based curriculum	Charter School will provide students with a broad array of courses including core subjects (English, mathematics, social sciences, and science) and electives. Charter School will also provide all other academic programs and services outlined in its charter petition, certain programs and services being dependent on student need and interest. Charter School will design its master schedule to meet the needs of its students to ensure all academic content areas are available to all students, including student groups. All students will participate in a rigorous, relevant, and coherent standards-based curriculum that supports the achievement of the schoolwide learner outcomes, academic standards, and college- and career-readiness standards. The school will be appropriately staffed to implement the school master schedule. Expenditures associated with this action include the following: teacher salaries and benefits, principal salary and benefits, and substitute teacher expenses. The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds: N/A	$1,084,158.70	No
2.2	Professional development for high-quality instruction	Professional development will occur at the MPS organizational level and within the school. Charter School will ensure curricula, instruction, and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) In addition to ongoing professional development activities that support efforts to increase student academic performance, all staff will be provided with multiple opportunities to grow professionally through	$47,697.22	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		induction processes, regular collaboration with colleagues, and curricular support. This involves instructional leadership, common walkthrough protocols, and professional feedback to promote a cycle of continuous improvement, as well as supports for high quality delivery of the program that enables differentiation, particularly for unduplicated students and students with exceptional needs. Instructional leaders at the school and at the Home Office will assess staff professional development needs through formal and informal performance observation, surveys, and student performance data. Based on the data, combined with LCAP goals and the MPS Home Office high quality instruction guidelines, the instructional leaders will determine common staff development days and tailor staff development to individual staff needs. Expenditures associated with this action include the following: professional development, tuition reimbursement, and TeachBoost/SchoolMint Grow software fees. The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds: • 4035 Title II 5864 Prof Dev-Other $15,900 • 4127 Title IV, Part A ESEA (ESSA) 5863 Prof Development $4,770		
2.3	MTSS - Academic enrichment, intervention, and student support	Charter School will implement MTSS as a systemic, continuous improvement framework in which data-based problem-solving and decision making is practiced across all levels of the educational system for supporting students. Charter School will synthesize CAASPP and MAP student achievement and growth data, as well as course grades, and other state and internal assessment scores, into reports and regularly review progress towards targets. Targeted interventions will be utilized to create a high-quality differentiated environment where students are supported to engage at their optimal levels. Charter School will provide additional supports and interventions to all students, including but not limited to, 1-1 or small group interventions, targeted support via evidence-based supplemental intervention/enrichment materials and technology (reading, math, etc.), co-taught classes, Power English/Power Math classes, Study Skills, additional support during SSR/Advisory, before and after school	$459,641.52	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		tutoring, Saturday classes, and summer programs. SSPT, 504, and other support meetings will be coordinated among teachers and support roles (resource teachers, EL coordinator, department chairs, etc.) Expenditures associated with this action include the following: Dean of Academics salary and benefits, Title-I coordinator salary and benefits, instructional aide salaries and benefits, intervention teacher salaries and benefits, teacher stipends for after school, Saturday school, and summer school, NWEA MAP testing fees, Illuminate DnA fees, and evidence-based supplemental intervention/enrichment program fees (Edgenuity, ALEKS, IXL, Accelerated Reader, Lexercise, ST Math, Standards Plus, Quizizz, Padlet, Grade Slam, Sumdog, BrainPOP, NextGenMath, Membean, Spelling City, Turnitin, Seesaw, Listenwise, Grammarly, Cambium Learning, ABC Mouse, Learning A-Z, Flocabulary, Alexandria Library, Nearpod, Newsela, and myON.) The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds: • 4127 Title IV, Part A ESEA (ESSA) 4340 Education Software $8,860.54 • 3010 Title I 4340 Education Software $11,665 • 3010 Title I 3500 Unemployment Insurance $373.23 • 3010 Title I 3300 OASDI/Medicare $1,082.36 • 3010 Title I 3100 STRS $14,257.39 • 3010 Title I 1100 Teacher Salaries $74,646 • 3010 Title I 5800 Professional Services $5,300		
2.4	Designated and integrated ELD programs and support for ELs	Charter School will provide services to ELs, including newcomers and LTELs, by proficiency level and provide ELD instruction aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. Charter School will provide our ELs with core and supplemental ELD instructional materials and provide our teachers with PD focused on ELD standards. Our EL coordinator will monitor EL student progress in program implementation according to our EL Master Plan. Charter School teachers will provide instruction using designated and integrated ELD instructional strategies as outlined in the CHATS framework (and other research-based strategies such as SDAIE) to all students, including ELs. Charter School will provide culturally and	$88,945.82	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		linguistically relevant materials for students. ELs will receive further in-class instructional support which includes one-on-one teacher support and small group instruction. Charter School will strive to provide bilingual instructional assistants to provide primary language support to enable students to access content area instruction while gaining language proficiency. Charter School will ensure that teachers participate in PD on ELD instructional strategies and CHATS framework. Charter School will provide regular and timely communication with families of EL students, including newcomers and LTELs, regarding annual assessments, program supports and services, and students' progress toward reclassification. This notification will include, but is not limited to, annual parent notification letters, quarterly ELAC meetings, and parent-teacher conferences. Expenditures associated with this action include the following: EL coordinator salary and benefits, EL coordinator stipend, EL instructional aide salary and benefits, and Rosetta Stone program fees. The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds: N/A		
2.5	Support for students with disabilities	Students with disabilities will receive services and supports as listed in their Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) (in addition to services they receive pertinent to low income, foster youth and English learner designations) in order to improve outcomes and close the achievement gap, including graduation rate and performance on statewide assessments. Students with disabilities will have access to all additional intervention and student support systems as outlined in Goal 2: Actions 3 and 4, as well as access to push-in and pull-out services, instructional aides, learning center services, and contracted services to provide each scholar with a Free and Appropriate Public Education in their least restrictive and most accessible learning environment. Students with disabilities dually identified as EL will have ELD goals in their IEPs in addition to goals addressing their specific areas of need based on their eligibility. Our SPED, EL, and general education teams will work in collaboration, monitor our scholars' progress toward IEP goal mastery, and provide progress reports to parents. In addition, all IEPs will be reviewed and present levels will be updated during annual and triennial reviews as	$615,595.98	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		required by the IDEA. Expenditures associated with this action include the following: SPED coordinator and teacher salaries and benefits, paraprofessional salaries and benefits, school psychologist salary and benefits, SPED intern salaries and benefits, outsourced SPED services fees, and SPED instructional materials and technology. The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds: • 4127 Title IV, Part A ESEA (ESSA) 5800 Professional Services $8,480		

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
3	INNOVATION: All students will have access to a well-rounded, 21st century education that supports their readiness for college and the global world under our second core pillar of Innovation. Each student will become an independent, innovative scholar by practicing Creativity and Adaptability in their learning, using technology and data-driven online learning, and demonstrating high-quality learning outcomes in myriad ways.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

It is the Charter School's vision that our graduates are well-rounded critical thinkers and problem solvers who contribute to the global community. A significant step toward helping our students achieve their maximum potential involves providing a rigorous, relevant and college preparatory curriculum with a STEAM emphasis. Therefore, we will design educational experiences to meet the needs of our scholars with an emphasis on innovation and critical thinking. Charter School will also promote the benefits of a physically active lifestyle and will help students develop skills to engage in lifelong healthy habits, as well as incorporate essential health education concepts to our physical education program. In an effort to provide well-rounded education to our students, Charter School will strive to offer additional programs such as elective courses, arts, music, civics, languages other than English, clubs, etc. Charter School will implement the actions listed under this goal and use the indicated metrics to measure progress in provision of an innovative, well-rounded education that supports student readiness for college and the global world.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.1	Percentage of students enrolled in an Accelerated and/or Advanced Math course and/or Advanced Math club (Source: Local Indicator Priority 7, SIS)	2023-24: (As of 5/20/24) 11%			2026-27: >= 10%	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.2	Percentage of students in the current graduating class who have taken a Computer/Technology course and/or experienced blended learning in their program of study (Source: Local Indicator Priority 7, SIS)	2023-24: (As of 5/20/24) 100%			2026-27: >= 90%	
3.3	Percentage of students who have created or demonstrated a STEAM focused project, experiment, model or demo in the current year (Source: Local Indicator Priority 7, SIS)	2023-24: (As of 5/20/24) 97%			2026-27: >= 80%	
3.4	Percentage of students in the current year College Career Indicator (CCI) who earned Prepared on the CCI (Source: CA School Dashboard)	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 60.5%			2025-26: (2026 Dashboard) >= 55.0%	
3.5	Percentage of students who have demonstrated college preparedness pursuant to the Early Assessment Program (EAP), as measured by meeting or exceeding standard on the CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments in Grade 11 (Source: CDE DataQuest)	2022-23: 73.08%			2025-26: >= 55.00%	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.6	Percentage of students who have demonstrated college preparedness pursuant to the Early Assessment Program (EAP), as measured by meeting or exceeding standard on the CAASPP-Mathematics assessments in Grade 11 (Source: CDE DataQuest)	2022-23: 62.96%			2025-26: >= 35.00%	
3.7	Percentage of all AP exam takers in the current year with a score of 3 or higher (Source: College Board)	2022-23: 35.6%			2025-26: >= 55.0%	
3.8	Percentage of seniors who have passed an AP exam with a score of 3 or higher during their high school years (Source: College Board)	2022-23: 11.1%			2025-26: >= 55.0%	
3.9	Percentage of seniors who have completed at least two semesters of college coursework with a grade of C minus or better in academic/CTE subjects where college credit is awarded (Source: CA School Dashboard, SIS)	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 26.3%			2025-26: (2026 Dashboard) >= 35.0%	
3.10	Percentage of cohort graduates who have successfully completed	2022-23 (CDE DataQuest): 87.2%			2025-26: (2026 Dashboard) >= 90.0%	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the UC/CSU (Source: CA School Dashboard, CDE DataQuest, CALPADS)					
3.11	Percentage of cohort graduates who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for CTE sequences or programs of study that align with state board-approved CTE standards and frameworks (Source: CA School Dashboard, CALPADS)	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 0.00%			2025-26: (2026 Dashboard) >= 10.0%	
3.12	Percentage of cohort graduates who have successfully completed both types of courses: courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the UC/CSU and courses that satisfy the requirements for CTE sequences or programs of study that align with state board-approved CTE standards and frameworks (Source: CA School Dashboard, CALPADS)	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 0.00%			2025-26: (2026 Dashboard) >= 10.0%	
3.13	Percentage of cohort graduates who have earned a Seal of	2022-23 (CDE DataQuest): 28.6%			2025-26: (CDE DataQuest) >= 20.0%	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	Biliteracy (Source: CDE DataQuest, CALPADS)					
3.14	Percentage of cohort graduates who have earned a Golden State Seal Merit Diploma (Source: CDE DataQuest, CALPADS)	2022-23 (CDE DataQuest): 48.6%			2025-26: (CDE DataQuest) >= 30.0%	
3.15	Percentage of cohort graduates who have earned an Advanced or Honors MPS Diploma (Source: SIS)	2023-24: 56.0%			2026-27: >= 50.0%	
3.16	Percentage of high school completers who have been accepted to a 4-year or 2-year college (Source: Naviance)	2023-24: 100%			2026-27: >= 90%	
3.17	Percentage of high school completers who have been accepted to a 4-year college (Source: Naviance)	2023-24: 100%			2026-27: >= 60%	
3.18	College-Going Rate (Source: CDE DataQuest)	Class of 2021: 34.8%			Class of 2024: >= 60%	

Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.1	College/Career readiness programs and activities	Charter School will offer individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years. Students will be provided credit recovery opportunities and individualized support to ensure timely high school graduation. Charter School will offer Advisory and College Readiness classes and activities to support our students in the areas, including, but not limited to, college planning and career exploration, college application and financial aid submission. Charter School will provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. Charter School will promote a college-going culture through dual and concurrent enrollment, AP courses, college visits, college/career days, and other college related activities. Charter School will also explore CTE options to provide students with opportunities to explore a career theme of interest while learning a set of technical and employability skills that integrate into or complement their academic studies. Expenditures associated with this action include the following: college counselor salary and benefits, AP teacher stipends, Naviance program fees, AP exam fees, AP course materials, and other college-related materials and activities. The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds: N/A	$123,486.67	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.2	STEM and GATE programs	Charter School will offer STEAM and GATE programs and activities, including Accelerated/Advanced Math course and club. Students will be provided opportunities during the day and after school to create or demonstrate a STEAM focused project, experiment, model or demo. We will also provide information and access to quality out-of-school STEM activities and achievements. With CA Science Framework and NGSS integration, all our students will learn about engineering design, technology, and applications of science as part of their core classes. Furthermore, Charter School will design and implement computer science and engineering-related courses and activities, such as AP Computer Science Principles, Code.org activities, Project Lead the Way (PLTW) programs, Robotics, etc. Gifted and talented students and students achieving above grade level will be engaged in all classes as teachers differentiate curriculum through adjustments of content through depth, complexity, and pacing. Emphasis will be on innovation, critical thinking, and logical reasoning. Learners will be challenged to investigate, use problem-based learning, and research. Expenditures associated with this action include the following: supplemental STEM program fees. The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds: N/A	$1.06	Yes
3.3	Digital literacy and citizenship programs	Charter School will educate our students and staff in digital literacy so that they adapt, create, consume, and connect in productive, responsible ways to utilize technology in academic, social, and professional settings. Charter School will offer Computer/Digital Literacy classes and/or blended learning experiences for our students. Teachers will incorporate appropriate technology into lessons to support student learning and technology will be kept up to date. Students will be provided with opportunities to expand their literacy, computational, and communication skills with digital media; they will be writing advanced essays, conducting internet research, engaging in data collection, presenting ideas and drawing conclusions. Charter School staff will teach and model safe, savvy, and social digital citizenship; topics	$134,785.98	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		may include, but are not limited to, using technology applications, including Artificial Intelligence (AI); digital footprint, privacy, and security; digital rights and responsibilities; using social media responsibly and digital etiquette. As our students gain the ability to navigate computing systems, utilize networks and the internet responsibly, analyze and interpret data with an antibias lens, solve problems using algorithms and programming, and carefully consider the ethics of computing technologies, they will become informed digital citizens who can leverage AI and other technologies to promote social justice and equity. Expenditures associated with this action include the following: computer teacher salary and benefits, internet security program fees, and digital literacy and citizenship program fees. The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds: N/A		
3.4	Physical education, activity, and fitness	Charter School will provide students with physical education, using an age-appropriate, sequential PE curriculum consistent with state standards for PE. The curriculum will promote the benefits of a physically active lifestyle and will help students develop skills to engage in lifelong healthy habits, as well as incorporate essential health education concepts. Charter School will offer recess before lunch and students will be provided with periodic opportunities to be active or to stretch throughout the day on all or most days during a typical school week. To the extent practicable, Charter School will ensure that its grounds and facilities are safe, and that equipment is available to students to be active. Charter School will offer opportunities for students to participate in physical activity either before and/or after the school day (or both) through a variety of methods such as physical activity/sports clubs, intramurals or interscholastic sports, etc. Charter School will adhere to the MPS Wellness Policy guidelines on physical education, activity, and fitness. Expenditures associated with this action include the following: PE/Health teacher salary and benefits and physical activity, fitness, and equipment expenses.	$147,062.22	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		The following expenditures will be funded by federal Titles: • 4127 Title IV, Part A ESEA (ESSA) 4335 PE Supplies $18,020		
3.5	Additional programs and activities that support well-rounded education	To provide well-rounded education to our students, Charter School will strive to offer additional programs such as elective courses, arts, music, civics, languages other than English (LOTE), clubs, etc. Arts instruction focuses on developing students' creativity, imagination, discipline and self-expression through drawing and fine arts. It is important that all our students, particularly unduplicated students, are provided with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Charter School teachers and other staff will offer after school clubs for enrichment in the areas of, including, but not limited to, STEM (science, technology, engineering, math), ELA, LOTE, culture, sports, visual and performing arts, community service, leadership, and others. In addition to these clubs, the Charter School's Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P) will support afterschool and summer enrichment programs in science, robotics, advanced math, academic competitions, journalism, college mentorship, and other areas. Expenditures associated with this action include the following: teacher salaries and benefits for additional programs that support well-rounded education, supplemental materials, field trip expenses, and afterschool/club expenses. The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds: • 4127 Title IV, Part A ESEA (ESSA) 4326 Arts &Music Supplies $20,000 • 4127 Title IV Part A ESEA (ESEA) 5800 Professional Services $15,900	$265,105.57	Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
4	CONNECTION: All students, families, staff, and other educational partners will experience our third core pillar, Connection, and have access to meaningful engagement opportunities that help cultivate Effective Communication and Global Citizenship in a safe and nurturing environment. Educational partners will feel a sense of community and connectedness.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)

Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)

Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

School communities are integrated partnerships with the school site staff, families, students and all other educational partners. This sense of connection creates a safe place for all learners and educational partners to affirm individual strengths, develop capacity, celebrate character, build trusting and respectful relationships, promote unity and better decision making. Additionally, community cultivates identity and gives each member a sense of belonging and pride. Charter School will seek family input for decision making, utilize home visits and educational partner surveys, and provide academic and social-emotional support through MTSS/PBIS. We will work with community partners to support the vision and goals of the school, including our students' development of personal and academic networks for long-term resilience and connection. Charter School will implement the actions listed under this goal and use the indicated metrics to measure progress in sustaining a sense of community and connectedness.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
4.1	Number of Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) meetings per year (Source: Local Indicator Priority 3)	2023-24: 6			2026-27: >= 8	
4.2	Number of English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC)	2023-24: 3			2026-27: >= 4	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	meetings per year (Source: Local Indicator Priority 3)					
4.3	Number of activities/events for parent engagement per year (Source: Local Indicator Priority 3)	2023-24: (As of 5/20/24) 18			2026-27: >= 10	
4.4	Percentage of students who have been home-visited by the teachers per year (Source: Local Indicator Priority 3, SIS)	2023-24: (As of 5/20/24) 29.2%			2026-27: >= 15.0%	
4.5	Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Rate (Source: SIS)	2023-24: (P-2 ADA) 91.93%			2026-27: (P-2 ADA) >= 92.00%	
4.6	Chronic Absenteeism Rate (Source: CA School Dashboard, SIS)	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 30.8%			2025-26: (2026 Dashboard) <= 20.0%	
4.7	Middle School Dropout Rate (Source: CALPADS)	2023-24: 5.1%			2026-27: <= 2.0%	
4.8	High School Dropout Rate (Source: CDE DataQuest, CALPADS)	2022-23: (CDE DataQuest) 7.9%			2025-26: (CDE DataQuest) <= 2.0%	
4.9	Graduation Rate (Source: CA School Dashboard, CALPADS)	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 92.3%			2025-26: (2026 Dashboard) >= 95.0%	
4.10	Student Suspension Rate (Source: CA	2022-23: (2023 Dashboard) 2.3%			2025-26: (2026 Dashboard) <= 2.5%	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	School Dashboard, CALPADS)					
4.11	Student Expulsion Rate (Source: CDE DataQuest, CALPADS)	2022-23: (CDE DataQuest) 0.00%			2025-26: (CDE DataQuest) <= 0.50%	
4.12	School experience survey "participation rates" by students, families, and staff (Source: Local Indicator Priority 6, Panorama Education)	2023-24: Students: 100.0% Families: 100.0% Staff: 96.3%			2026-27: Students: >= 95.0% Families: >= 75.0% Staff: >= 95.0%	
4.13	School experience survey "average approval rates" based on the responses of our students, families, and staff to all questions on the survey in the following topics: climate of support for academic learning; knowledge and fairness of discipline, rules, and norms; safety; and sense of belonging (school connectedness) (Source: Local Indicator Priority 6, Panorama Education)	2023-24: Students: 67.0% Families: 96.0% Staff: 80.0%			2026-27: Students: >= 65% Families: >= 95% Staff: >= 80%	
4.14	School experience survey "overall satisfaction rates" based on the responses of our students, families, and staff to the question,	2023-24: Students: 78% Families: 96% Staff: 75%			2026-27: Students: >= 75% Families: >= 95% Staff: >= 85%	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	"Overall, I am satisfied and would recommend this school to other students/parents/educators." (Source: Local Indicator Priority 6, Panorama Education)					
4.15	Student retention rate (Source: SIS)	2023-24: (Spring 2023 to Fall 2023) 83.25%			2026-27: (Spring 2026 to Fall 2026) >= 85%	

Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
4.1	Seeking family input for decision-making	Charter School will build the capacity of and support administrators, teachers and staff in order to build the capacity of and support family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making. Charter School will provide all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implement Community School strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community. Some periodic information/input sessions for families include, but are not limited to, Board of Directors meetings, Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) meetings, English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings, Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, and Coffee with the Principal meetings. The PAC will be composed of majority of parents of pupils and include parents/guardians of ELs, low-income, foster youth, and students with disabilities. Parents, teachers, staff, students, and community members are encouraged and empowered to join school committees, including the PAC and ELAC. Such committees provide for representation of students in need (low income, EL, foster youth, students with disabilities, etc.) Feedback from our educational partners provide valuable input for the LCAP and continuous school improvement. Charter School chooses to utilize the LCAP to serve as the SPSA and holds an annual meeting to inform parents and collect feedback about LCAP goals and actions, data and needs assessment, Title-funded activities and budgets, UCP, parent and family engagement policy and school-student-parent compact. In addition, as explained in Goal 4: Action 4, Charter School will conduct family surveys to seek feedback on school improvement.

Expenditures associated with this action include the following: parent meeting expenses and Document Tracking Services (DTS) fees.

The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds: N/A | $275.00 | Yes |
| **4.2** | Building relationships and partnerships with families for student outcomes | Charter School will develop the capacity of staff to build trusting and respectful relationships with families and create welcoming environments for all families in the community. Our staff will learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children. We will promote participation in activities/events, including Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, and parent conferences. Administrators | $220,769.21 | Yes |

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		will greet all students and parents in the mornings during student drop off and have an open-door policy welcoming all parents. We will provide professional learning and support to staff to improve capacity to partner with families and to provide families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home. Charter School will provide parents with access to course materials, homework assignments, projects, and records of students' grades through our SIS. Charter School will communicate further with the parents of students who are performing below grade level. Our teachers, administrators, and classified staff will visit students at their homes to discuss student progress and enhance student learning and involvement. Charter School will also support families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students. Charter School will schedule annual workshops for parents as well as additional workshops for parents of EL and immigrant students. Topics to be covered include, but are not limited to, the school's EL Master Plan, stages of language acquisition, state testing, college application, using SIS to check student progress, study habits, and family literacy. Charter School will use a variety of communication channels to connect with families in a language that is understandable and accessible to them. A parent communication software will be utilized for voice and text communications, email, and push notifications. We will provide language translators at parent meetings to the extent practicable.

Expenditures associated with this action include the following: Infinite Campus SIS fees, ParentSquare software fees, SchoolMint software fees, home-visit compensation, parent activity/event expenses, Parent Education Bridge program fees, Pitney Bowes expenses, and Office manager/Administrative assistant salaries and benefits.

The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds:
- 3010 Title I 5800 Professional Services $1,590
- 3010 Title I 3500 Unemployment Insurance $90
- 3010 Title I 3300 OASDI/Medicare $261
- 3010 Title I 3100 STRS $3438
- 3010 Title I 1100 Teacher Salaries $18,000 | | |

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
4.3	MTSS - PBIS and SEL support	Charter School will provide a safe, nurturing, and engaging learning environment for all our students and families. Academic and social-emotional support will be provided to address student needs as well as instructional materials including free uniforms. Students who are homeless, experiencing housing instability, are in foster care or experiencing personal/family crisis or have other special needs will be cared for in our supportive school community. Charter School will offer a SEL/Life Skills program that provides students with valuable skills to support academic excellence and social skill development. We will annually assess our suspension/expulsion policies and procedures and implement alternatives to suspension/expulsion, including restorative practices. Teachers will establish classroom management procedures, foster positive relationships, and help create an atmosphere of trust, respect, and high expectations. Charter School will implement a positive and equitable student responsibility and behavior system with teaching, intervention and prevention strategies and protocols that are clear, fair, incremental, restorative, and culturally responsive. Charter School will celebrate student and school achievements and organize recognition assemblies and other schoolwide culture-building activities. Charter School will inform parents and students of attendance policies specified in the Student/Parent Handbook and encourage and support student attendance. Charter School will also implement the CORE DISTRICTS SEL Survey instrument in the fall and spring annually to assess the following social-emotional competencies: growth mindset, self-efficacy, self-management, and social awareness. As part of our MTSS efforts, school leadership, teachers, and support staff will analyze student SEL survey results in the fall to provide our students with targeted social-emotional support and then measure student responses again in the spring to measure growth, identify greatest progress and needs in order to inform our next steps. Expenditures associated with this action include the following: Dean of Students salary and benefits, discipline coordinator salary and benefits, office/attendance clerk salaries and benefits, school uniform expenses, PD on classroom management, PBIS, and SEL support, SEL program fees, outsourced SEL services fees, and additional services for homeless students. The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds:	$320,667.84	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		- 4201 Title III - Immigrant. Ed. 4345 Non-Instructional Student Supplies $4,317.38 - 3010 Title I 4340 Educational Software $4,134 - 3010 Title I Teacher salaries and benefits $9,374.55		
4.4	Annual educational partner surveys	Charter School will annually administer school experience surveys to students, families, and staff. Charter School will implement the CORE DISTRICTS Survey instrument to assess our educational partners' perceptions of the following school climate indicators: climate of support for academic learning; knowledge and fairness of discipline, rules and norms; safety; and sense of belonging (school connectedness). In addition, we ask our educational partners open-ended questions about what they like the best about the school and suggestions for improvement. This feedback instrument provides all staff and school leaders with valuable information about how students see their classes, how much parents are involved, how supported staff feel and how to facilitate improvement. Our staff will analyze the survey results to identify the greatest progress and needs in order to inform our next steps. Expenditures associated with this action include the following: Panorama Education survey fees. The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds: 3010 Title I - 5800 Professional Services: $1,233.84	$1,233.84	Yes
4.5	Community outreach and partnerships	Charter School will establish community, business, institutional and civic partnerships that invest in and support the vision and goals of the school. School staff will participate in local activities that engage community members and staff in communicating school successes to the broader community. Charter School will secure community support to sustain existing resources and add new resources that address emerging student needs. Charter School leadership will actively develop relationships with a range of educational partners, policymakers, and researchers to identify	$388,295.53	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		and address issues, trends, and potential changes that affect the context and conduct of education. Expenditures associated with this action include the following: Community Schools Coordinator salary and benefits, membership fees (CCSA, WASC, etc.), marketing, branding, outreach, and partnership expenses. The following expenditures will be funded by federal Title funds: N/A		

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2024-25]

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant
$$971,366	$70,057

Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
27.744%	8.864%	$213,071.45	36.608%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

Required Descriptions

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.4	**Action:** Healthy and nutritious meals **Need:** Low-income students do not have sufficient or consistent access to nutritious meals and they develop poor eating habits. As a result, they experience poor health and academic outcomes, including, but not limited to, obesity, chronic absenteeism and lower grades. There	MPS is committed to the optimal development of every student. Research shows that two components, good nutrition and physical activity before, during and after the school day, are strongly correlated with positive student outcomes. For example, student participation in the U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA) School Breakfast Program is associated with higher grades and standardized test scores, lower absenteeism and better performance on cognitive tasks. Conversely, less-than-adequate	Goal 2: • Percentage of students who have received a grade of "C" or better in core subjects and electives (Source: SIS) Goal 4:

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	is a need to provide all our students with healthy and nutritious meals. **Scope:** LEA-wide	consumption of specific foods including fruits, vegetables and dairy products, is associated with lower grades among students. Finally, there is evidence that adequate hydration is associated with better cognitive performance. With consideration of the importance of good nutrition, Charter School will maintain nutrition education resources and continue to focus on innovative ways to increase access to freshly prepared, nutritious foods to ensure students are well-nourished and ready to learn. We will provide two school meals free of charge during each schoolday to any student who requests a meal without consideration of the student's eligibility for a federally funded free or reduced-price meal, with a maximum of one free meal for each meal service period. This action will result in increased and improved services, particularly for our low-income students, who may not have access to nutritious meals and are experiencing poor health outcomes. With the needs of low-income students in mind, this action will be provided on a schoolwide basis. It was found effective in the current school year and will be continued in the coming school year. Charter School will promote healthy food and beverage choices for all students throughout campus, as well as encourage participation in school meal programs. Charter School will adhere to the MPS Wellness Policy guidelines on nutritious foods for all food sales and celebrations. We expect the meal program will help improve the diet and health of our students and mitigate childhood obesity, model healthy eating to support the development of lifelong healthy eating patterns and support healthy choices while accommodating cultural food preferences and special dietary	• Chronic Absenteeism Rate (Source: CA School Dashboard, SIS) • School experience survey "overall satisfaction rates" based on the responses of our students to the question, "Overall, I am satisfied and would recommend this school to other students." (Source: Local Indicator Priority 6, Panorama Education)

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
		needs. We also expect this action will result in increased student belonging as measured by the annual student surveys in Goal 4: Action 4.	
2.2	**Action:** Professional development for high-quality instruction **Need:** The majority of Charter School's student population consists of low-income and socioeconomically disadvantaged students, English learners, and students with disabilities, many of whom are dually identified as EL. These student groups have social and emotional conditions that influence academic learning, as evidenced by the performance gaps on the CA School Dashboard, CAASPP results, and other available data. Addressing the unique needs of students from diverse backgrounds is a major challenge because our teachers need to be prepared with the relevant content knowledge, experience, and training, with a focus on cultural and linguistic characteristics of our diverse learners. **Scope:** LEA-wide	With the needs of our diverse student body in mind, Charter School will ensure curricula, instruction, and assessments are aligned to the standards and that teachers participate in professional development on the implementation of standards (CCSS, NGSS, etc.) In addition to ongoing professional development activities that support efforts to increase student academic performance, all staff will be provided with multiple opportunities to grow professionally through induction processes, regular collaboration with colleagues, and curricular support. This involves instructional leadership, common walkthrough protocols using the TeachBoost/SchoolMint Grow software, and professional feedback to promote a cycle of continuous improvement, as well as supports for high quality delivery of the program that enables differentiation, particularly for unduplicated students and students with exceptional needs. Instructional leaders at the school and at the Home Office will assess staff professional development needs through formal and informal performance observations, surveys, and student performance data. Based on the data, combined with LCAP goals and the MPS Home Office high quality instruction guidelines, the instructional leaders will determine common staff development days and tailor staff development to individual staff needs. This action was found effective in the current school year and will be continued in the coming school year. We expect this schoolwide action will result in increased academic achievement of our student groups on	Goal 2: • Percentage of completion of observations by the school administration based on one formal and four informal classroom observations per teacher per year to ensure state standards implementation for all students (Source: Local Indicator Priority 2, TeachBoost/SchoolMint Grow) • Percentage of students who have received a grade of "C" or better in core subjects and electives (Source: SIS) • Percentage of students who have met or exceeded

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
		the CA School Dashboard, CAASPP assessments, MAP assessments, and student grades as identified in our LCAP metrics in Goal 2.	standard on the CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments (Source: CDE DataQuest) • Distance from Standard (DFS) on the CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments (Source: CA School Dashboard) • Percentage of students who have met their growth targets on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Reading assessment from fall to spring (Source: NWEA MAP) • Conditional Growth Index and Percentile (CGI and CGP) based on students' growth on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Reading

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			assessment from fall to spring as compared to the national growth norms (Source: NWEA MAP) • Percentage of students who have met or exceeded standard on the CAASPP-Mathematics assessments (Source: CDE DataQuest) • Distance from Standard (DFS) on the CAASPP-Mathematics assessments (Source: CA School Dashboard) • Percentage of students who have met their growth targets on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Mathematics assessment from fall to spring (Source: NWEA MAP)

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			• Conditional Growth Index and Percentile (CGI and CGP) based on students' growth on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Mathematics assessment from fall to spring as compared to the national growth norms (Source: NWEA MAP)
2.3	**Action:** MTSS - Academic enrichment, intervention, and student support **Need:** The majority of Charter School's student population consists of low-income and socioeconomically disadvantaged students, English learners, and students with disabilities, many of whom are dually identified as EL. These student groups have social and emotional conditions that influence academic learning, as evidenced by the performance gaps on the CA School Dashboard, CAASPP results, and other available data. Most of our low-income students have parents working multiple jobs and need academic support. Foster youth and English learners may experience feelings of anxiety and confusion.	Considering the needs of our vulnerable student groups in mind, Charter School will implement MTSS as a systemic, continuous improvement framework in which data-based problem-solving and decision making is practiced across all levels of the educational system for supporting students. Charter School will synthesize CAASPP and MAP student achievement and growth data, as well as course grades, and other state and internal assessment scores, into reports and regularly review progress towards targets. Targeted interventions will be utilized to create a high-quality differentiated environment where students are supported to engage at their optimal levels. Charter School will provide additional supports and interventions to all students, including but not limited to, 1-1 or small group interventions, targeted support via evidence-based supplemental intervention/enrichment materials and technology	Goal 2: • Percentage of completion of observations by the school administration based on one formal and four informal classroom observations per teacher per year to ensure state standards implementation for all students (Source: Local Indicator Priority 2,

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Students struggle with access to materials, technology, and a quiet, private place to study. There are gaps in their learning that need individualized attention. **Scope:** LEA-wide	(reading, math, etc.), co-taught classes, Power English/Power Math classes, Study Skills, additional support during SSR/Advisory, before and after school tutoring, Saturday classes, and summer programs. SSPT, 504, and other support meetings will be coordinated among teachers and support roles (resource teachers, EL coordinator, department chairs, etc.) We expect that these student supports and interventions will provide increased and improved services for all our unduplicated students who need extra support and attention. This action was found effective in the current school year and will be continued in the coming school year. It will be provided on a schoolwide basis and we expect all student groups to show academic growth as measured by the CA School Dashboard, CAASPP assessments, MAP assessments, student grades, and other metrics as identified in our LCAP metrics in Goal 2.	TeachBoost/SchoolMint Grow) • Percentage of students who have received a grade of "C" or better in core subjects and electives (Source: SIS) • Percentage of students who have met or exceeded standard on the CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments (Source: CDE DataQuest) • Distance from Standard (DFS) on the CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments (Source: CA School Dashboard) • Percentage of students who have met their growth targets on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Reading

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			assessment from fall to spring (Source: NWEA MAP)
			• Conditional Growth Index and Percentile (CGI and CGP) based on students' growth on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)- Reading assessment from fall to spring as compared to the national growth norms (Source: NWEA MAP)
			• Percentage of students who have met or exceeded standard on the CAASPP-Mathematics assessments (Source: CDE DataQuest)
			• Distance from Standard (DFS) on the CAASPP-Mathematics assessments (Source: CA

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			School Dashboard) • Percentage of students who have met their growth targets on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Mathematics assessment from fall to spring (Source: NWEA MAP) • Conditional Growth Index and Percentile (CGI and CGP) based on students' growth on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Mathematics assessment from fall to spring as compared to the national growth norms (Source: NWEA MAP)
2.4	**Action:** Designated and integrated ELD programs and support for ELs **Need:**	Considering the needs of our ELs, Charter School will provide services to ELs by proficiency level and provide ELD instruction aligned to the CA ELD standards and framework. Charter School will provide our ELs with core and supplemental ELD	Goal 2: For all students, but particularly for the EL and LTEL student groups:

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	The majority of Charter School's student population consists of English Learners (EL), including long-term ELs (LTELs), Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) students, and students with disabilities, many of whom are dually identified as EL. ELs need designated English language development instruction. Emerging ELs lack English speaking experience and struggle to communicate. Both ELs and RFEPs have a strong need for meaningful connections among fundamental concepts in the curriculum to their prior knowledge and experiences. They need additional literacy instruction, support, and interventions. Teachers of ELs need to have knowledge of EL strategies and culturally responsive instruction. **Scope:** LEA-wide	instructional materials and provide our teachers with PD focused on ELD standards. Our EL coordinator will monitor EL student progress in program implementation according to our EL Master Plan. Charter School teachers will provide instruction using designated and integrated ELD instructional strategies as outlined in the CHATS framework (and other research-based strategies such as SDAIE) to all students, including ELs. Charter School will provide culturally and linguistically relevant materials for students. ELs will receive further in-class instructional support which includes one-on-one teacher support and small group instruction. Charter School will strive to provide bilingual instructional assistants to provide primary language support to enable students to access content area instruction while gaining language proficiency. Charter School will ensure that teachers participate in PD on ELD instructional strategies and CHATS framework. Charter School will provide regular and timely communication with families of EL students, including newcomers and LTELs, regarding annual assessments, program supports and services, and students' progress toward reclassification. This notification will include, but is not limited to, annual parent notification letters, quarterly ELAC meetings, and parent-teacher conferences. These supports and services will provide increased and improved services for our English learners. This action was found effective in the current school year and will be continued in the coming school year. While some of the actions described here, including the designated ELD instruction, will be targeted only to ELs, the rest of the actions, including integrated	• Percentage of students who have received a grade of "C" or better in core subjects and electives (Source: SIS) • Percentage of students who have met or exceeded standard on the CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments (Source: CDE DataQuest) • Distance from Standard (DFS) on the CAASPP-ELA/Literacy assessments (Source: CA School Dashboard) • Percentage of students who have met their growth targets on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Reading assessment from fall to spring

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
		ELD instruction, will be provided for all students. RFEP students, students with disabilities, and all other student groups will benefit from this schoolwide action. We expect that this action will result in increased academic achievement of our student groups, particularly of our ELs and LTELs, on the CA School Dashboard, CAASPP assessments, MAP assessments, student grades, and EL reclassification rates as identified in our LCAP metrics in Goal 2.	(Source: NWEA MAP) • Conditional Growth Index and Percentile (CGI and CGP) based on students' growth on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Reading assessment from fall to spring as compared to the national growth norms (Source: NWEA MAP) • Percentage of students who have met or exceeded standard on the CAASPP-Mathematics assessments (Source: CDE DataQuest) • Distance from Standard (DFS) on the CAASPP-Mathematics assessments (Source: CA School Dashboard)

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			• Percentage of students who have met their growth targets on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Mathematics assessment from fall to spring (Source: NWEA MAP) • Conditional Growth Index and Percentile (CGI and CGP) based on students' growth on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)-Mathematics assessment from fall to spring as compared to the national growth norms (Source: NWEA MAP) • Percentage of ELs who increased at least one English Learner Progress Indicator (ELPI) Performance Level (PL) or who maintained ELPI

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			PL 4 (ELP) between prior and current year (Source: CA School Dashboard) • Percentage of ELs reclassified to Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) annually (Source: CDE DataQuest)
3.1	**Action:** College/Career readiness programs and activities **Need:** The majority of Charter School's student population consists of low-income and socioeconomically disadvantaged students, English learners, and students with disabilities. Many of our students are also the first generation in their families who will attend college. Most of them lack parental support and experience financial difficulties. Our students have a great need for guidance about college/career choices and support for preparation. A college-going, supportive culture at the school is necessary for our students' college/career readiness. **Scope:** LEA-wide	With the needs of our unduplicated students in mind, Charter School will offer individual graduation plans, outlining the classes students will take during their high school years. Students will be provided credit recovery opportunities and individualized support to ensure timely high school graduation. Charter School will offer Advisory and College Readiness classes and activities to support our students in the areas, including, but not limited to, college planning and career exploration, college application and financial aid submission. Charter School will provide students with opportunities to take Advanced Placement (AP) courses based on student needs and interests. Charter School will promote a college-going culture through dual and concurrent enrollment, AP courses, college visits, college/career days, and other college related activities. Charter School will also explore CTE options to provide students with opportunities to explore a career theme of interest while learning a set of technical and employability skills that integrate into or complement their academic	Goal 3: • Percentage of students in the current year College Career Indicator (CCI) who earned Prepared on the CCI (Source: CA School Dashboard) • Percentage of all AP exam takers in the current year with a score of 3 or higher (Source: College Board) • Percentage of seniors who have passed an AP exam with a score of 3 or

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
		studies. Unduplicated students will receive improved services through our Naviance program and increased support with AP exam fees, AP course materials, and other college-related materials and activities. These services are particularly helpful for first-generation college-bound students who lack parental support strategies and experience financial constraints. This action was found effective in the current school year and will be continued in the coming school year. We expect this schoolwide action will result in increases in CCI prepared rate, AP passing rate, A-G rate, diploma seals, college acceptance, and college-going rates as identified in our LCAP metrics in Goal 3.	higher during their high school years (Source: College Board) • Percentage of seniors who have completed at least two semesters of college coursework with a grade of C minus or better in academic/CTE subjects where college credit is awarded (Source: CA School Dashboard, SIS) • Percentage of cohort graduates who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the UC/CSU (Source: CA School Dashboard, CDE DataQuest, CALPADS) • Percentage of cohort graduates who have

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for CTE sequences or programs of study that align with state board-approved CTE standards and frameworks (Source: CA School Dashboard, CALPADS) • Percentage of cohort graduates who have successfully completed both types of courses: courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the UC/CSU and courses that satisfy the requirements for CTE sequences or programs of study that align with state board-approved CTE standards and

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			frameworks (Source: CA School Dashboard, CALPADS) • Percentage of cohort graduates who have earned a Seal of Biliteracy (Source: CDE DataQuest, CALPADS) • Percentage of cohort graduates who have earned a Golden State Seal Merit Diploma (Source: CDE DataQuest, CALPADS) • Percentage of high school completers who have been accepted to a 4-year or 2-year college (Source: Naviance) • Percentage of high school completers who have been accepted to a 4-year college

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			(Source: Naviance) • College-Going Rate (Source: CDE DataQuest)
3.2	**Action:** STEM and GATE programs **Need:** Charter School has a vision to help reverse the tide of U.S. students falling behind their peers in other nations in critical subjects like math and science. We strive to graduate students who come from historically underserved neighborhoods as scientific thinkers that contribute to the global community as socially responsible and educated members of society. Charter School's educational approach is based on the conviction that STEM education is essential to improving our modern society's knowledge base and adaptability to the fast pace of everchanging technological advancements. Historically, the number of African American and Latino students pursuing careers in STEAM fields has been very low. Research suggests that a significant cause of these low numbers is that students have inadequate exposure to intensive STEM curricula. **Scope:** LEA-wide	Charter School strives to address the shortage by inspiring and preparing students to choose career paths in science and technology. The majority of Charter School's student population consists of low-income and socioeconomically disadvantaged students of color, English learners, and students with disabilities. With the needs of our community in mind, Charter School will offer STEAM and GATE programs and activities, including Accelerated/Advanced Math course and club. Students will be provided opportunities during the day and after school to create or demonstrate a STEM focused project, experiment, model or demo. We will also provide information and access to quality out-of-school STEM activities and achievements. With CA Science Framework and NGSS integration, all our students will learn about engineering design, technology, and applications of science as part of their core classes. Furthermore, Charter School will design and implement computer science and engineering-related courses and activities, such as AP Computer Science Principles, Code.org activities, Project Lead the Way (PLTW) programs, Robotics, etc. Unduplicated students will receive increased and improved support through access to supplemental STEM programs and activities. Gifted and talented students and students achieving above grade level will be engaged in all classes as teachers differentiate curriculum	Goal 3: • Percentage of students enrolled in an Accelerated and/or Advanced Math course and/or Advanced Math club (Source: Local Indicator Priority 7, SIS) • Percentage of students in the current graduating class who have taken a Computer/Technology course and/or experienced blended learning in their program of study (Source: Local Indicator Priority 7, SIS) • Percentage of students who have created or demonstrated a STEAM focused

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
		through adjustments of content through depth, complexity, and pacing. Emphasis will be on innovation, critical thinking, and logical reasoning. This action was found effective in the current school year and will be continued in the coming school year. We expect this schoolwide action will challenge our learners to investigate, use problem-based learning, research, and help become independent and innovative scholars. Goal 3 includes metrics for the percentage of students enrolled in an Accelerated and/or Advanced Math course and/or Advanced Math club, percentage of students in the current graduating class who have taken a Computer/Technology course and/or experienced blended learning in their program of study, and percentage of students who have created or demonstrated a STEAM focused project, experiment, model or demo in the current year.	project, experiment, model or demo in the current year (Source: Local Indicator Priority 7, SIS)
3.3	**Action:** Digital literacy and citizenship programs **Need:** The majority of Charter School's student population consists of low-income and socioeconomically disadvantaged students, English learners, and students with disabilities, many of whom are dually identified as EL. These student groups have social and emotional conditions that influence academic learning and effective literacy, communication, and presentation skills. Most of our slow-income students struggle with access to technology as well. Our students have a great need to learn ways to utilize technology in an effective and responsible way.	With the needs of our students in mind, Charter School will educate our students and staff in digital literacy so that they adapt, create, consume, and connect in productive, responsible ways to utilize technology in academic, social, and professional settings. Charter School will offer Computer/Digital Literacy classes and/or blended learning experiences for our students. Teachers will incorporate appropriate technology into lessons to support student learning and technology will be kept up to date. Students will be provided with opportunities to expand their literacy, computational, and communication skills with digital media; they will be writing advanced essays, conducting internet research, engaging in data collection, presenting ideas and drawing conclusions. Charter School staff will teach and	Goal 3: • Percentage of students in the current graduating class who have taken a Computer/Techn ology course and/or experienced blended learning in their program of study (Source: Local Indicator Priority 7, SIS)

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Scope: LEA-wide	model safe, savvy, and social digital citizenship; topics may include, but are not limited to, using technology applications; digital footprint, privacy, and security; digital rights and responsibilities; using social media responsibly and digital etiquette. These activities will result in increased and improved services for our unduplicated students. This action was found effective in the current school year and will be continued in the coming school year. We expect this schoolwide action will help our students expand their knowledge and skills in an ever-evolving digital world. Goal 3 includes a metric for the percentage of students in the current graduating class who have taken a Computer/Technology course and/or experienced blended learning in their program of study.	
3.4	**Action:** Physical education, activity, and fitness **Need:** Low-income students do not have sufficient or consistent access to physical activities/sports and fitness education. Research shows that two components, good nutrition and physical activity before, during and after the school day, are strongly correlated with positive student outcomes. Students who are physically active through active transport to and from school, recess, physical activity breaks, high-quality physical education and extracurricular activities do better academically. **Scope:**	MPS is committed to the optimal development of every student. With consideration of the importance of physical fitness, Charter School will provide students with physical education, using an age-appropriate, sequential PE curriculum consistent with state standards for PE. The curriculum will promote the benefits of a physically active lifestyle and will help students develop skills to engage in lifelong healthy habits, as well as incorporate essential health education concepts. Charter School will offer recess before lunch and students will be provided with periodic opportunities to be active or to stretch throughout the day on all or most days during a typical school week. To the extent practicable, Charter School will ensure that its grounds and facilities are safe, and that equipment is available to students to be active. Charter School will offer opportunities for	Goal 2: • Percentage of students who have received a grade of "C" or better in core subjects and electives (Source: SIS) Goal 4: • Chronic Absenteeism Rate (Source: CA School Dashboard, SIS) • School experience survey "overall

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	LEA-wide	students to participate in physical activity either before and/or after the school day (or both) through a variety of methods such as physical activity/sports clubs, intramurals or interscholastic sports, etc. Charter School will adhere to the MPS Wellness Policy guidelines on physical education, activity, and fitness. With the needs of low-income students in mind, this action will be provided on a schoolwide basis. It was found effective in the current school year and will be continued in the coming school year. We expect this action will help improve the health of our students and model physical fitness to support the development of lifelong healthy living patterns. We expect that this action will result in increased attendance and grades as well as increased student belonging as measured by the annual student surveys in Goal 4: Action 4.	satisfaction rates" based on the responses of our students to the question, "Overall, I am satisfied and would recommend this school to other students." (Source: Local Indicator Priority 6, Panorama Education)
3.5	**Action:** Additional programs and activities that support well-rounded education **Need:** The majority of Charter School's student population consists of low-income and socioeconomically disadvantaged students, English learners, and students with disabilities. Traditionally, schools focus on ELA and math interventions to address the learning gap between vulnerable student groups and their peers. Other important well-rounded programs such as arts, music, civics, and languages other than English may not get the same attention. On the other hand, well-rounded programs help students develop competencies and creative skills in problem	To provide well-rounded education to our students, Charter School will strive to offer additional programs such as elective courses, arts, music, civics, languages other than English (LOTE), clubs, etc. Arts instruction focuses on developing students' creativity, imagination, discipline and self-expression through drawing and fine arts. It is important that all our students, particularly unduplicated students, are provided with experiential learning opportunities, including but not limited to, instructional field trips, internships, community service programs, clubs, etc. Charter School teachers and other staff will offer after school clubs for enrichment in the areas of, including, but not limited to, STEM (science, technology, engineering, math), ELA, LOTE, culture, sports, visual and performing arts, community service, leadership, and others. In	Goal 2: • Percentage of students who have received a grade of "C" or better in core subjects and electives (Source: SIS) Goal 3: • Percentage of students in the current year College Career Indicator (CCI) who earned Prepared on the

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	solving, communication, and management of time and resources that contribute to lifelong learning and career skills. Our low-income students come from underserved communities that do not have adequate resources and access to experiential learning opportunities. **Scope:** LEA-wide	addition to these clubs, the Charter School's Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P) will support afterschool and summer enrichment programs in science, robotics, advanced math, academic competitions, journalism, college mentorship, and other areas. These well-rounded programs and activities will result in increased and improved services for our unduplicated students. With the needs of our unduplicated students in mind, this action will be provided on a schoolwide basis. It was found effective in the current school year and will be continued in the coming school year. We expect this action will help our scholars become independent and innovative scholars. We also expect that this action will result in increased academic achievement as measured by the LCAP metrics in Goal 2, increases in CCI prepared rate, AP passing rate, A-G rate, diploma seals, college acceptance, and college-going rates as identified in our LCAP metrics in Goal 3, as well as increased student belonging as measured by the annual student surveys in Goal 4: Action 4.	CCI (Source: CA School Dashboard) • Percentage of all AP exam takers in the current year with a score of 3 or higher (Source: College Board) • Percentage of seniors who have passed an AP exam with a score of 3 or higher during their high school years (Source: College Board) • Percentage of seniors who have completed at least two semesters of college coursework with a grade of C minus or better in academic/CTE subjects where college credit is awarded (Source: CA School Dashboard, SIS) • Percentage of cohort graduates

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the UC/CSU (Source: CA School Dashboard, CDE DataQuest, CALPADS) • Percentage of cohort graduates who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for CTE sequences or programs of study that align with state board-approved CTE standards and frameworks (Source: CA School Dashboard, CALPADS) • Percentage of cohort graduates who have successfully completed both

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			types of courses: courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the UC/CSU and courses that satisfy the requirements for CTE sequences or programs of study that align with state board-approved CTE standards and frameworks (Source: CA School Dashboard, CALPADS) • Percentage of cohort graduates who have earned a Seal of Biliteracy (Source: CDE DataQuest, CALPADS) • Percentage of cohort graduates who have earned a Golden State Seal Merit Diploma (Source: CDE DataQuest, CALPADS)

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			• Percentage of high school completers who have been accepted to a 4-year or 2-year college (Source: Naviance) • Percentage of high school completers who have been accepted to a 4-year college (Source: Naviance) • College-Going Rate (Source: CDE DataQuest) Goal 4: • Chronic Absenteeism Rate (Source: CA School Dashboard, SIS) • School experience survey "average approval rates" based on the responses of our students and families to all questions on the survey in the following topics:

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			climate of support for academic learning; knowledge and fairness of discipline, rules, and norms; safety; and sense of belonging (school connectedness) (Source: Local Indicator Priority 6, Panorama Education) • School experience survey "overall satisfaction rates" based on the responses of our students and families to the question, "Overall, I am satisfied and would recommend this school to other students/families." (Source: Local Indicator Priority 6, Panorama Education)
4.1	**Action:** Seeking family input for decision-making	Considering the needs of our unduplicated students and their families, Charter School will	Goal 4:

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Need: It is important that parents are engaged in the development of all school plans, including, but not limited to, the LCAP, SPSA (Charter School uses the LCAP to serve as the SPSA), and WASC Self-Study. Charter School wishes to engage all parents, to the extent applicable, in the process of school review and improvement. This includes reviewing the school's goals, actions, programs, data, and funds, including evaluation of actions and programs and effective use of funds. The majority of Charter School's student population consists of low-income and socioeconomically disadvantaged students, English learners, and students with disabilities. Most of our low-income students have parents working multiple jobs. Parents of our ELs need capacity building to support the needs of our ELs. In order to engage parents in an organized, ongoing and timely manner in the planning, review and improvement of our programs, Charter School needs to provide multiple channels to engage parents in decision making. **Scope:** LEA-wide	hold periodic meetings to gather input from our families. Information/input sessions include Board of Directors meetings, Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) meetings, English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings, Parent Task Force (PTF) meetings, and Coffee with the Principal meetings. The PAC will be composed of majority of parents of pupils and include parents/guardians of ELs, low-income, foster youth, and students with disabilities. Parents, teachers, staff, students, and community members are encouraged and empowered to join school committees, including the PAC and ELAC. Such committees provide for representation of students in need (low income, EL, foster youth, students with disabilities, etc.) Feedback from our educational partners provide valuable input for the LCAP and continuous school improvement. Charter School chooses to utilize the LCAP to serve as the SPSA and holds an annual meeting to inform parents and collect feedback about LCAP goals and actions, data and needs assessment, Title-funded activities and budgets, UCP, parent and family engagement policy and school-student-parent compact. In addition, as explained in Goal 4: Action 4, Charter School will conduct family surveys to seek feedback on school improvement. These activities will result in increased and improved services for our unduplicated students and their families. With the needs of our unduplicated students and their families in mind, this action will be provided on a schoolwide basis. It was found effective in the current school year and will be continued in the coming school year. We expect this action will help further engage our families in decision making. Charter School utilizes the self-reflection tool for	• Number of Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) meetings per year (Source: Local Indicator Priority 3) • Number of English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings per year (Source: Local Indicator Priority 3) • School experience survey "average approval rates" based on the responses of our families to all questions on the survey in the following topics: climate of support for academic learning; knowledge and fairness of discipline, rules, and norms; safety; and sense of belonging (school connectedness) (Source: Local Indicator Priority

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
		Local Priority 3, i.e., Parent and Family Engagement, to measure progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision making as well as progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing Community School strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community. We also expect that this action will result in increased parent satisfaction as measured by the annual educational partner surveys in Goal 4: Action 4.	6, Panorama Education) • School experience survey "overall satisfaction rates" based on the responses of our families to the question, "Overall, I am satisfied and would recommend this school to other families." (Source: Local Indicator Priority 6, Panorama Education)
4.2	**Action:** Building relationships and partnerships with families for student outcomes **Need:** Family engagement is an essential strategy for building pathways to college and career readiness for all students and is an essential component of a systems approach to improving outcomes for all students. More than thirty years of research has shown that family engagement can lead to improved student outcomes (e.g., attendance, engagement, academic outcomes, social emotional learning, etc.) To engage all families equitably, it is necessary to understand the	In order to build partnerships with our families for student outcomes, Charter School will build trusting and respectful relationships with parents through activities/events, including Student/Parent Orientation, Back to School Night, and parent conferences to promote parental participation in programs. Administrators will greet all students and parents in the mornings during student drop off and have an open-door policy welcoming all parents. Charter School will provide parents with access to course materials, homework assignments, projects, and records of students' grades through our SIS. Charter School will communicate further with the parents of students who are performing below grade level. Our teachers, administrators, and classified staff will	Goal 2: • Percentage of students who have received a grade of "C" or better in core subjects and electives (Source: SIS) Goal 4: • Number of activities/events for parent involvement per year (Source:

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	cultures, languages, needs and interests of families in our school community. Furthermore, developing family engagement policies, programs, and practices needs to be done in partnership with local families, using the tools of continuous improvement. The majority of Charter School's student population consists of low-income and socioeconomically disadvantaged students, English learners, and students with disabilities. Most of our low-income students have parents working multiple jobs. Parents of our ELs need capacity building to support the needs of our ELs. **Scope:** LEA-wide	visit students at their homes to discuss student progress and enhance student learning and involvement. Charter School will schedule annual workshops for parents as well as additional workshops for parents of EL and immigrant students. Topics to be covered include, but are not limited to, the school's EL Master Plan, stages of language acquisition, state testing, college application, using SIS to check student progress, study habits, and family literacy. Charter School will use a variety of communication channels to connect with families in a language that is understandable and accessible to them. A parent communication software will be utilized for voice and text communications, email, and push notifications. We will provide language translators at parent meetings to the extent practicable. These activities will result in increased and improved services for our unduplicated students and their families. With the needs of our unduplicated students and their families in mind, this action will be provided on a schoolwide basis. It was found effective in the current school year and will be continued in the coming school year. We expect this action will help further engage our families in building partnerships with the school for student outcomes. Charter School utilizes the self-reflection tool for Local Priority 3, i.e., Parent and Family Engagement, to measure progress in creating welcoming environments and building trusting and respectful relationships with families, developing multiple opportunities for 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families, and providing families with information and resources to support student	Local Indicator Priority 3) • Percentage of students who have been home-visited by the teachers per year (Source: Local Indicator Priority 3, SIS) • Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Rate (Source: SIS) • Chronic Absenteeism Rate (Source: CA School Dashboard, SIS) • Middle School Dropout Rate (Source: CALPADS) • High School Dropout Rate (Source: CDE DataQuest, CALPADS) • Graduation Rate (Source: CALPADS, CA School Dashboard) • Student Suspension Rate (Source: CA

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
		learning and development in the home. We also expect this action will result in increased academic achievement and lifelong learning as measured by the LCAP metrics in Goals 2 and 3, increased home visit rate, ADA rate, and graduation rate, and decreased chronic absenteeism rate, dropout rate, and student suspension/expulsion rates as measured in Goal 4. We also expect this action will result in increased student, parent, and staff satisfaction rates as measured by the annual educational partner surveys in Goal 4: Action 4.	School Dashboard, CALPADS) • Student Expulsion Rate (Source: CDE DataQuest, CALPADS) • School experience survey "average approval rates" based on the responses of our students, families, and staff to all questions on the survey in the following topics: climate of support for academic learning; knowledge and fairness of discipline, rules, and norms; safety; and sense of belonging (school connectedness) (Source: Local Indicator Priority 6, Panorama Education) • School experience survey "overall satisfaction rates"

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			based on the responses of our students, families, and staff to the question, "Overall, I am satisfied and would recommend this school to other students/families/ educators." (Source: Local Indicator Priority 6, Panorama Education)
4.3	**Action:** MTSS - PBIS and SEL support **Need:** The majority of Charter School's student population consists of low-income and socioeconomically disadvantaged students, English learners, and students with disabilities. These student groups have social and emotional conditions that influence academic learning, as evidenced by the performance gaps on the CA School Dashboard, CAASPP results, and other available data. These student groups also have lower ADA rates while they have higher chronic absenteeism and office referral rates. Children living in poverty are more likely to incur more adverse childhood experiences including abuse, neglect, exposure to neighborhood violence,	Considering the needs of our vulnerable student groups in mind, Charter School will provide a safe, nurturing, and engaging learning environment for all our students and families. Academic and social-emotional support will be provided to address student needs as well as instructional materials including free uniforms. Students who are homeless, experiencing housing instability, are in foster care or experiencing personal/family crisis or have other special needs will be cared for in our supportive school community. Charter School will offer a SEL/Life Skills program that provides students with valuable skills to support academic excellence and social skill development. We will annually assess our suspension/expulsion policies and procedures and implement alternatives to suspension/expulsion, including restorative practices. Teachers will establish classroom management procedures, foster positive	Goal 2: • Percentage of students who have received a grade of "C" or better in core subjects and electives (Source: SIS) Goal 4: • Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Rate (Source: SIS) • Chronic Absenteeism Rate (Source: CA

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	or an incarcerated parent. Foster youth and English learners may experience feelings of anxiety and confusion. Charter School needs to take action to address the unique academic, social and emotional needs of low-income students, students with disabilities, English learners, racial and ethnic minorities, students experiencing homelessness, and foster care youth. **Scope:** LEA-wide	relationships, and help create an atmosphere of trust, respect, and high expectations. Charter School will implement a positive and equitable student responsibility and behavior system with teaching, intervention and prevention strategies and protocols that are clear, fair, incremental, restorative, and culturally responsive. Charter School will celebrate student and school achievements and organize recognition assemblies and other schoolwide culture-building activities. Charter School will inform parents and students of attendance policies specified in the Student/Parent Handbook and encourage and support student attendance. Charter School will also implement the CORE DISTRICTS SEL Survey instrument in the fall and spring annually to assess the following social-emotional competencies: growth mindset, self-efficacy, self-management, and social awareness. As part of our MTSS efforts, school leadership, teachers, and support staff will analyze student SEL survey results in the fall to provide our students with targeted social-emotional support and then measure student responses again in the spring to measure growth, identify greatest progress and needs in order to inform our next steps. These activities will result in increased and improved PBIS and SEL support for our unduplicated students, as well as additional supports for homeless and immigrant students. With the needs of our vulnerable students and their families in mind, this action will be provided on a schoolwide basis. It was found effective in the current school year and will be continued in the coming school year. We expect that this action will help provide a foundation for safe and positive learning, and	School Dashboard, SIS) • Middle School Dropout Rate (Source: CALPADS) • High School Dropout Rate (Source: CDE DataQuest, CALPADS) • Graduation Rate (Source: CALPADS, CA School Dashboard) • Student Suspension Rate (Source: CA School Dashboard, CALPADS) • Student Expulsion Rate (Source: CDE DataQuest, CALPADS) • School experience survey "average approval rates" based on the responses of our students, families, and staff to all questions on the survey in the following

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
		enhance students' mental health and abilities to succeed in school, careers, and life. We also expect this action will result in increased academic achievement and lifelong learning as measured by the LCAP metrics in Goals 2 and 3, increased ADA rate and graduation rate, and decreased chronic absenteeism rate, dropout rate, and student suspension/expulsion rates as measured in Goal 4. We also expect this action will result in increased student, parent, and staff satisfaction rates as measured by the annual educational partner surveys in Goal 4: Action 4.	topics: climate of support for academic learning; knowledge and fairness of discipline, rules, and norms; safety; and sense of belonging (school connectedness) (Source: Local Indicator Priority 6, Panorama Education) • School experience survey "overall satisfaction rates" based on the responses of our students, families, and staff to the question, "Overall, I am satisfied and would recommend this school to other students/families/ educators." (Source: Local Indicator Priority 6, Panorama Education)

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
4.4	**Action:** Annual educational partner surveys **Need:** It is important that parents, students, and staff are engaged in the development of all school plans, including, but not limited to, the LCAP, SPSA (Charter School uses the LCAP to serve as the SPSA), and WASC Self-Study. Charter School wishes to engage all parents, to the extent applicable, in the process of school review and improvement. The majority of Charter School's student population consists of low-income and socioeconomically disadvantaged students, English learners, and students with disabilities. To engage all students and families equitably, it is necessary to understand the cultures, languages, needs and interests of students and families in our school community. Educational partner voices, i.e., voices of our students, families, staff, and other school community members, play a powerful role in helping us learn how to improve our teaching, leadership, and other school practices. In order to engage educational partners in an organized, ongoing and timely manner in the planning, review and improvement of our programs, Charter School needs to provide multiple channels to engage educational partners in decision making. Surveys have been the primary means of collecting student, family, and staff voices about what we are doing great and should keep doing, and what areas for improvement are so we can continue to provide our students with the best quality education.	Considering the needs of our unduplicated students and their families, MPS uses an online platform to provide students, families, and staff with groups of questions that measure their perceptions of teaching and learning, as well as their perceptions of school climate and safety. This feedback instrument provides teachers and school leaders with valuable data about how students see their classes, how much parents are involved, how supported staff feel and how to facilitate improvement. Charter School will annually administer school experience surveys to students, parents, and staff. Charter School will implement the CORE DISTRICTS Survey instrument to assess our educational partners' perceptions of the following school climate indicators: climate of support for academic learning; knowledge and fairness of discipline, rules and norms; safety; and sense of belonging (school connectedness). In addition, we ask our educational partners open-ended questions about what they like the best and the least about the school and suggestions for improvement. This feedback instrument provides all staff and school leaders with valuable information about how students see their classes, how much parents are involved, how supported staff feel and how to facilitate improvement. Our staff will analyze the survey results to identify the greatest progress and needs in order to inform our next steps. The surveys help identify and plan for increased and improved services for our unduplicated students and their families. With the needs of our unduplicated students and their families in mind, this action will be provided on a schoolwide basis. It was found effective in the current school year and will be continued in the	Goal 4: • School experience survey "participation rates" by students, families, and staff (Source: Local Indicator Priority 6, Panorama Education) • School experience survey "average approval rates" based on the responses of our students, families, and staff to all questions on the survey in the following topics: climate of support for academic learning; knowledge and fairness of discipline, rules, and norms; safety; and sense of belonging (school connectedness) (Source: Local Indicator Priority

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Scope: LEA-wide	coming school year. We expect this action will help provide valuable feedback for school improvement. We also expect that this action will result in increased student, parent, and staff satisfaction rates as measured in Goal 4.	6, Panorama Education) • School experience survey "overall satisfaction rates" based on the responses of our students, families, and staff to the question, "Overall, I am satisfied and would recommend this school to other students/parents/educators." (Source: Local Indicator Priority 6, Panorama Education)
4.5	**Action:** Community outreach and partnerships **Need:** The majority of Charter School's student population consists of low-income and socioeconomically disadvantaged students, English learners, and students with disabilities. Our low-income students come from underserved communities that do not have adequate resources and access to experiential learning opportunities. Many of our students are also the first generation in their families who will attend college. Most of them lack	Considering the needs of our vulnerable student groups in mind, Charter School will establish community, business, institutional and civic partnerships that invest in and support the vision and goals of the school. School staff will participate in local activities that engage community members and staff in communicating school successes to the broader community. Charter School will secure community support to sustain existing resources and add new resources that address emerging student needs. Charter School leadership will actively develop relationships with a range of educational partners, policymakers, and researchers to identify and	Goal 2: • Percentage of students who have received a grade of "C" or better in core subjects and electives (Source: SIS) Goal 3: • Percentage of students in the current year

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	parental support and experience financial difficulties. Our students have a great need for guidance about college/career choices and support for preparation. These student groups have social and emotional conditions that influence academic learning, as evidenced by the performance gaps on the CA School Dashboard, CAASPP results, and other available data. They also have lower ADA rates while they have higher chronic absenteeism and office referral rates. Children living in poverty are more likely to incur more adverse childhood experiences including abuse, neglect, exposure to neighborhood violence, or an incarcerated parent. Foster youth and English learners may experience feelings of anxiety and confusion. Charter School needs to take action to address the unique academic, social and emotional needs of low-income students, students with disabilities, English learners, racial and ethnic minorities, students experiencing homelessness, and foster care youth. **Scope:** LEA-wide	address issues, trends, and potential changes that affect the context and conduct of education. These activities will result in increased and improved resources for our unduplicated students and will be particularly helpful for first-generation college-bound students who lack parental support strategies and experience financial constraints. With the needs of our unduplicated students and their families in mind, this action will be provided on a schoolwide basis. It was found effective in the current school year and will be continued in the coming school year. We expect this action will provide students and families with information and resources to support student learning and development. We also expect this action will result in increased academic achievement and lifelong learning as measured by the LCAP metrics in Goals 2 and 3, increased ADA rate, and graduation rate, and decreased chronic absenteeism rate, dropout rate, and student suspension/expulsion rates as measured in Goal 4. We also expect this action will result in increased student, parent, and staff satisfaction rates as measured in Goal 4.	College Career Indicator (CCI) who earned Prepared on the CCI (Source: CA School Dashboard) • Percentage of seniors who have completed at least two semesters of college coursework with a grade of C minus or better in academic/CTE subjects where college credit is awarded (Source: CA School Dashboard, SIS) • Percentage of cohort graduates who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the UC/CSU (Source: CA School Dashboard, CDE DataQuest, CALPADS)

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			• Percentage of cohort graduates who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for CTE sequences or programs of study that align with state board-approved CTE standards and frameworks (Source: CA School Dashboard, CALPADS) • Percentage of cohort graduates who have successfully completed both types of courses: courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the UC/CSU and courses that satisfy the requirements for CTE sequences or programs of study that align

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			with state board-approved CTE standards and frameworks (Source: CA School Dashboard, CALPADS) • Percentage of cohort graduates who have earned a Seal of Biliteracy (Source: CDE DataQuest, CALPADS) • Percentage of cohort graduates who have earned a Golden State Seal Merit Diploma (Source: CDE DataQuest, CALPADS) • Percentage of high school completers who have been accepted to a 4-year or 2-year college (Source: Naviance) • Percentage of high school completers who have been

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			accepted to a 4-year college (Source: Naviance) • College-Going Rate (Source: CDE DataQuest) Goal 4: • Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Rate (Source: SIS) • Chronic Absenteeism Rate (Source: CA School Dashboard, SIS) • Middle School Dropout Rate (Source: CALPADS) • High School Dropout Rate (Source: CDE DataQuest, CALPADS) • Graduation Rate (Source: CALPADS, CA School Dashboard) • Student Suspension Rate (Source: CA School

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			Dashboard, CALPADS) • Student Expulsion Rate (Source: CDE DataQuest, CALPADS) • School experience survey "average approval rates" based on the responses of our students, families, and staff to all questions on the survey in the following topics: climate of support for academic learning; knowledge and fairness of discipline, rules, and norms; safety; and sense of belonging (school connectedness) (Source: Local Indicator Priority 6, Panorama Education) • School experience survey "overall satisfaction rates" based on the

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
			responses of our students, families, and staff to the question, "Overall, I am satisfied and would recommend this school to other students/families/educators." (Source: Local Indicator Priority 6, Panorama Education)

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

Similar to the current academic year, MSA-5 will utilize the concentration grant add-on funds ($70,057) in the following manner:

MSA-5 is using the concentration grant add-on funds received in a manner which is consistent with California Education Code Section 42238.02, as amended, to increase the number of certificated staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students on school campuses with greater than 55 percent unduplicated pupil enrollment, as compared to schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent.

By adding these faculty/staff members, we aim to strengthen our ability to address the diverse needs of our student population and provide targeted support where it is most needed. This strategic allocation of funds will help create a more conducive learning environment and contribute to our student's overall growth and achievement.

Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students		
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students		

2024-25 Total Expenditures Table

LCAP Year	1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Input Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)
Totals	$3,501,133	$971,366	27.744%	8.864%	36.608%

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
Totals	$2,744,090.80	$1,649,867.75	$25,540.00	$433,176.57	$4,852,675.12	$3,298,854.22	$1,553,820.90

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
1	1.1	Teacher assignments and credentials	All	No			All Schools	Ongoing	$0.00	$17,755.00	$17,755.00				$17,755.00	
1	1.2	Instructional materials and technology	All	No			All Schools	Ongoing	$29,807.60	$168,625.38	$162,469.44	$35,000.00		$963.54	$198,432.98	
1	1.3	Clean and safe facilities that support learning	All	No			All Schools	Ongoing	$25,599.00	$340,966.78	$214,011.68	$152,554.10			$366,565.78	
1	1.4	Healthy and nutritious meals	Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	$0.00	$24,360.00	$24,360.00				$24,360.00	
1	1.5	Well-orchestrated Home Office support services	All	No			All Schools	Ongoing	$0.00	$347,839.20	$289,915.70	$48,616.50		$9,307.00	$347,839.20	
2	2.1	Broad course of study and standards based curriculum	All	No			All Schools	Ongoing	$1,019,158.70	$65,000.00	$754,832.30	$329,326.40			$1,084,158.70	
2	2.2	Professional development for high-quality instruction	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	$0.00	$47,697.22	$8,480.00	$18,547.22		$20,670.00	$47,697.22	
2	2.3	MTSS - Academic enrichment, intervention, and student support	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	$401,238.77	$58,402.75	$188,605.37	$144,083.47		$126,952.68	$459,641.52	
2	2.4	Designated and integrated ELD programs and support for ELs	English Learners	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners	All Schools	Ongoing	$88,945.82	$0.00	$88,945.82				$88,945.82	
2	2.5	Support for students with disabilities	Students with Disabilities	No			All Schools	Ongoing	$462,797.35	$152,798.63	$90,158.56	$455,503.99		$69,933.43	$615,595.98	
3	3.1	College/Career readiness programs and activities	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth	All Schools	Ongoing	$123,486.67	$0.00		$123,486.67			$123,486.67	

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
						Low Income										
3	3.2	STEM and GATE programs	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	$0.00	$1.06	$1.06				$1.06	
3	3.3	Digital literacy and citizenship programs	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	$130,969.98	$3,816.00	$56,203.99	$78,581.99			$134,785.98	
3	3.4	Physical education, activity, and fitness	Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	$103,502.22	$43,560.00	$79,292.22	$24,210.00	$25,540.00	$18,020.00	$147,062.22	
3	3.5	Additional programs and activities that support well-rounded education	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	$122,488.95	$142,616.62	$167,788.95	$61,416.62		$35,900.00	$265,105.57	
4	4.1	Seeking family input for decision-making	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	$0.00	$275.00	$275.00				$275.00	
4	4.2	Building relationships and partnerships with families for student outcomes	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	$204,389.03	$16,380.18	$197,390.21			$23,379.00	$220,769.21	
4	4.3	MTSS - PBIS and SEL support	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	$282,877.86	$37,789.98	$268,603.31	$34,238.60		$17,825.93	$320,667.84	
4	4.4	Annual educational partner surveys	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	$0.00	$1,233.84				$1,233.84	$1,233.84	
4	4.5	Community outreach and partnerships	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	$303,592.27	$84,703.26	$135,002.19	$144,302.19		$108,991.15	$388,295.53	

2024-25 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
$3,501,133	$971,366	27.744%	8.864%	36.608%	$1,214,948.12	0.000%	34.702 %	**Total:**	$1,214,948.12
								LEA-wide Total:	$1,214,948.12
								Limited Total:	$0.00
								Schoolwide Total:	$0.00

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
1	1.4	Healthy and nutritious meals	Yes	LEA-wide	Low Income	All Schools	$24,360.00	
2	2.2	Professional development for high-quality instruction	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$8,480.00	
2	2.3	MTSS - Academic enrichment, intervention, and student support	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$188,605.37	
2	2.4	Designated and integrated ELD programs and support for ELs	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners	All Schools	$88,945.82	
3	3.1	College/Career readiness programs and activities	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		
3	3.2	STEM and GATE programs	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$1.06	
3	3.3	Digital literacy and citizenship programs	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$56,203.99	

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
3	3.4	Physical education, activity, and fitness	Yes	LEA-wide	Low Income	All Schools	$79,292.22	
3	3.5	Additional programs and activities that support well-rounded education	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$167,788.95	
4	4.1	Seeking family input for decision-making	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$275.00	
4	4.2	Building relationships and partnerships with families for student outcomes	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$197,390.21	
4	4.3	MTSS - PBIS and SEL support	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$268,603.31	
4	4.4	Annual educational partner surveys	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		
4	4.5	Community outreach and partnerships	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	$135,002.19	

2023-24 Annual Update Table

Totals	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds)
Totals	$4,534,649.00	$4,604,307.65

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
1	1.1	Teacher assignments and credentials	No	$17,755	$17,755.00
1	1.2	Instructional materials and technology	No	$310,158	$310,157.87
1	1.3	Clean and safe facilities that support learning	No	$357,166	$357,165.82
1	1.4	Healthy and nutritious meals	Yes	$24,360	$24,360.00
1	1.5	Well-orchestrated Home Office support services	No	$347,839	$347,839.49
2	2.1	Broad course of study and standards-based curriculum	No	$931,603	$979,803.39
2	2.2	Professional development for high-quality instruction	Yes	$47,697	$47,697.22
2	2.3	MTSS - Academic enrichment, intervention, and student support	Yes	$459,153	$459,153.32
2	2.4	Designated and integrated ELD programs	Yes	$85,297	$85,297.38
2	2.5	Support for students with disabilities	No	$567,891	$563,999.52
3	3.1	College/Career readiness programs and activities	Yes	$93,810	$97,899.25

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
3	3.2	STEAM and GATE programs	Yes	$1	$1.06
3	3.3	Digital literacy and citizenship programs	Yes	$120,864	$120,863.73
3	3.4	Physical education, activity, and fitness	Yes	$135,507	$135,506.96
3	3.5	Additional programs and activities that support well-rounded education	Yes	$223,126	$238,885.58
4	4.1	Seeking family input for decision making	Yes		
4	4.2	Building partnerships with families for student outcomes	Yes	$217,747	$217,746.54
4	4.3	MTSS - PBIS and SEL support	Yes	$331,825	$337,325.40
4	4.4	Annual stakeholder surveys	Yes	$1,234	$1,233.84
4	4.5	Community outreach and partnerships	Yes	$261,616	$261,616.28

2023-24 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
$952,693	$747,442.26	$739,621.55	$7,820.71	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
1	1.4	Healthy and nutritious meals	Yes	$24,360.00	$24,360.00		
2	2.2	Professional development for high-quality instruction	Yes	$8,480.00	$8,480.00		
2	2.3	MTSS - Academic enrichment, intervention, and student support	Yes	$149,634.99	$159,032.09		
2	2.4	Designated and integrated ELD programs	Yes	$115,831.20			
3	3.1	College/Career readiness programs and activities	Yes		$13,909.57		
3	3.2	STEAM and GATE programs	Yes	$1.06	$1.06		
3	3.3	Digital literacy and citizenship programs	Yes	$3,180.00	$49,999.09		
3	3.4	Physical education, activity, and fitness	Yes	$85,909.89			
3	3.5	Additional programs and activities that support well-rounded education	Yes	$5,300.00	$127,788.95		
4	4.1	Seeking family input for decision making	Yes				
4	4.2	Building partnerships with families for student outcomes	Yes	$135,511.68	$194,367.53		
4	4.3	MTSS - PBIS and SEL support	Yes	$164,999.60	$42,300		

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
4	4.4	Annual stakeholder surveys	Yes	$1,233.84			
4	4.5	Community outreach and partnerships	Yes	$53,000.00	$119,383.26		

2023-24 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
$2,403,716	$952,693	0.000%	39.634%	$739,621.55	0.000%	30.770%	$213,071.45	8.864%

Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

[Plan Summary](#)

[Engaging Educational Partners](#)

[Goals and Actions](#)

[Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students](#)

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning*,* particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California *Education Code* [*EC*] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.

- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (*EC* Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.

- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:

  o Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (*EC* Section 52064[b][4-6]).

  o Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (*EC* sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).

    ▪ **NOTE:** As specified in *EC* Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to *EC* Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, *EC*

Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

- o Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (*EC* Section 52064[b][7]).

- o Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (*EC* sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in *EC* sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP, but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

Plan Summary

Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

Requirements and Instructions

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.

- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;

- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or

- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

- If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as "Not Applicable."

Comprehensive Support and Improvement
An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

Schools Identified
A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

Support for Identified Schools
A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

- Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness
A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

- Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners
Purpose
Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (*EC* Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Requirements

School districts and COEs: *EC* sections [52060(g) (California Legislative Information)](#) and [52066(g) (California Legislative Information)](#) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Charter schools: *EC* Section [47606.5(d) (California Legislative Information)](#) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and
- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the [CDE's LCAP webpage](#).

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see [Education Code Section 52062 (California Legislative Information)](#);

  - **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of *EC* Section 52062(a).

- For COEs, see [Education Code Section 52068 (California Legislative Information)](#); and

- For charter schools, see [Education Code Section 47606.5 (California Legislative Information)](#).

- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

Instructions

Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Complete the table as follows:

Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.

- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.

- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.

- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:

  - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
  - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
  - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
  - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
  - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
  - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
  - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
  - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
  - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
  - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
  - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
  - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that

is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.

  - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.

- Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.

- Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The LCFF State Priorities Summary provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

Focus Goal(s)
Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.

- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.

- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.

- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding
Description

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

(A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and

(B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.

- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators.

  o When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,

  o The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.

- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.

- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:

  o The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a wholistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).

- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

Note: *EC* Section 42238.024(b)(1) (California Legislative Information) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

Broad Goal
Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.

- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.

- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

Maintenance of Progress Goal

Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.

- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

Measuring and Reporting Results:
For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.

- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA's LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.

- **Required metrics for LEA-wide actions:** For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.

    o These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals**: For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:

    o The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or

    o The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.

Complete the table as follows:

Metric

- Enter the metric number.

Metric

- Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.

    o Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).

    o Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.

    o Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.

    o The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.

        ▪ This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain

accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.

▪ If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.

o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

  o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

  o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.

  o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

Current Difference from Baseline

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.

  o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the "**Measuring and Reporting Results**" part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2025–26**. Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2026–27**. Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2025–26** and **2026–27**. Leave blank until then.

Goal Analysis:

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. "Effective" means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

Note: When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as "Not Applicable."

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

    o Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.

    o This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.
- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. "Effectiveness" means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and "ineffectiveness" means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.

  - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.

  - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.

  - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.

  - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:

    - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and

    - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

Actions:
Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

Action

- Enter the action number.

Title

- Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.

- o For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

- o As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.

- o These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

Total Funds

- Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a "Y" for Yes or an "N" for No.

  - o **Note:** for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 *CCR*] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

Actions for Foster Youth: School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

Required Actions
- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:

  - o Language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students, and

  - o Professional development for teachers.

  - o If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.

- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.

- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:

  o The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.

  o These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA's description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

Statutory Requirements

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC* Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 *CCR* Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the "minimum proportionality percentage" or "MPP." The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.

- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For School Districts Only

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Requirements and Instructions

Complete the tables as follows:

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants

- Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

- Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in *EC* Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

LCFF Carryover — Dollar

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero ($0).

Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

Required Descriptions:

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.

- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

Note for COEs and Charter Schools: In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA's needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.

- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost $165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of $165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in *EC* Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.

- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.

- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.

  - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.

- The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.

  - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.

  - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word "input" has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)

- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)

- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)

- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)

- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

Total Planned Expenditures Table

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year**: Identify the applicable LCAP Year.

- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8).

Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.

- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.

- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover — Percentage. ***This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.***

- **Goal #**: Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.

- **Action #**: Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.

- **Action Title**: Provide a title of the action.

- **Student Group(s)**: Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.

- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type "Yes" if the action **is** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.

- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:

  o **Scope**: The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.

- o **Unduplicated Student Group(s)**: Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.

- o **Location**: Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools." If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans." Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.

- **Time Span**: Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year," or "2 Years," or "6 Months."

- **Total Personnel**: Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.

- **Total Non-Personnel**: This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.

- **LCFF Funds**: Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).

  - o **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.

- **Other State Funds**: Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

  - o **Note:** Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the "Other State Funds" category, not in the "LCFF Funds" category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA's LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

- **Local Funds**: Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

- **Federal Funds**: Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

- **Total Funds**: This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.

- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services**: For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as

a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.

- o As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

  For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost $165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of $165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses.

Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.

- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).

  o Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been $169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of $169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

Contributing Actions Table
- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**

  o This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.

- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**

  o This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.

- **Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)**

- This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display "Not Required."

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**

  - This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on of the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**

  - This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).

- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**

  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).

- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**

  - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).

- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**

  - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.

- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**

  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.

- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**

  - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

LCFF Carryover Table
- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)**

- o This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.

- **11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)**

  - o This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

- **12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)**

  - o If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

    The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

- **13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)**

  - o This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education
November 2023